
From: Hamilton, Catherine <catherine.hamilton@wsp-opus.co.nz>
Sent: Wednesday, 15 May 2019 11:20 AM
To: Shannon Watson <Shannon.Watson@gw.govt.nz>
Subject: RE: Eastern Bays Peer Review

Hello Shannon,

I haven't commented on App G and App J, though I took both into account. I can revise my report accordingly. In summary, my conclusions remain unchanged. Would you like me to do this?

OPTIONS REPORT AND DESIGN PLANS

I agree with the conclusions of the MCA that the seaward side option is the preferred option from a recreation values point of view.

I agree that the general alignment of Option D is the preferred one.

The report concludes that the path width of 2.5m is not ideal as it does not meet best practice and accepted Levels of Service for shared paths. The report states, however, that there are overseas examples and standards where 2.5m wide is acceptable. **I do not agree** that the 2.5m wide examples are applicable where there are constraints on both sides (ie: active land and drop off into CMA). I also would expect the design to conform with national Levels of Service.

The Options report argues that 2.5m is a compromise in order to protect coastal marine values relating to resilience, protecting infrastructure, and managing coastal values in the face of climate change and sea level rise. From a recreation point of view, it is not clear that this compromise results in an acceptable solution. Of greatest concern is the length of 2.5m sections (>150m). National standards recommend no more than 10m.

GREENAWAY REPORT

The Greenaway assessment states that 3.5m wide is the preferred width. It does not, however, discuss the implications of going below accepted LOS by reducing the path to 2.5m, nor does it provide any mitigation or alternatives for this.

Regards

Catherine

From: Shannon Watson <Shannon.Watson@gw.govt.nz>
Sent: Tuesday, 14 May 2019 2:21 PM
To: Hamilton, Catherine <catherine.hamilton@wsp-opus.co.nz>
Subject: RE: Eastern Bays Peer Review

Hi Catherine

Thank you very much for your comments and your support of my understanding of effects on recreational values via this review.

Out of interest did you look at the Alternatives Assessment section of the application (Appendix G) when undertaking your review? In your list of documents reviewed you do not appear to include Appendices G or J (Design Features Report) which provide commentary on the rationale and context for the current design solution.

I note that your assessment includes discussion on the appropriateness (or lack of) of areas with a width of 2.5m and in Section 7 (b) you request further information on why the width of 2.5m is appropriate and examples of where this width may have been used. However, the application documents the reasons for this width, and includes examples of where 2.5m width has been used elsewhere, in Appendix G and to a lesser extent Appendix J.

If you haven't reviewed these sections of the application, could you please have a look and see if this information changes any of the conclusions drawn or information requested in your peer review memo.

Many thanks

Shannon Watson | Environmental Regulation

GREATER WELLINGTON REGIONAL COUNCIL

Te Pane Matua Taiao

Shed 39, 2 Fryatt Quay, Pipitea, Wellington 6011 | PO Box 11646, Manners St, Wellington 6142

T: 04 830 4461

From: Hamilton, Catherine <catherine.hamilton@wsp-opus.co.nz>
Sent: Tuesday, 14 May 2019 9:34 AM
To: Shannon Watson <Shannon.Watson@gw.govt.nz>
Subject: Eastern Bays Peer Review

Hello Shannon, here is the reviewed copy of my review for eastern bays recreation assessment.

Thanks

Catherine

Sent from my Samsung Galaxy smartphone.

----- Original message -----

From: "Banks, Simon" <simon.banks@wsp-opus.co.nz>

Date: 14/05/19 9:29 AM (GMT+12:00)

To: "Hamilton, Catherine" <catherine.hamilton@wsp-opus.co.nz>

Subject: RE: Eastern Bays Peer Review

Hi Catherine, pdf attached.

Thanks,
Simon

From: Hamilton, Catherine

Sent: Tuesday, 14 May 2019 8:57 AM

To: Banks, Simon <simon.banks@wsp-opus.co.nz>

Subject: Re: Eastern Bays Peer Review

Hello Simon, could you please convert this to pdf and send it back to me as an email attachment. Thank you.

Catherine

Sent from my Samsung Galaxy smartphone.

----- Original message -----

From: "Banks, Simon" <simon.banks@wsp-opus.co.nz>

Date: 13/05/19 5:05 PM (GMT+12:00)

To: "Hamilton, Catherine" <catherine.hamilton@wsp-opus.co.nz>

Cc: "Hall, Sri" <sri.hall@wsp-opus.co.nz>

Subject: Eastern Bays Peer Review

Hi Catherine,

Please see attached my review. I think it looks good, certainly addresses the brief and reads well.

I have made some minor tweaks to wording for clarity and flow.

I did make one major change to the structure, pulling out the various matters where you are recommending further information and grouping these together. Primarily to make it easier for the processing planner and make sure no items are missed. I've also cross-referenced back to the sections I pulled them from.

I can easily put it back to the way it was if you don't agree with what I've done - let me know.

Thanks,
Simon

From: Hamilton, Catherine

Sent: Monday, 13 May 2019 12:50 PM

To: Banks, Simon <simon.banks@wsp-opus.co.nz>

Subject: Fwd: WGN190301 site visit for landscape and recreational effects experts

Does this work?

Sent from my Samsung Galaxy smartphone.

----- Original message -----

From: "Hamilton, Catherine" <catherine.hamilton@wsp-opus.co.nz>
Date: 13/05/19 9:33 AM (GMT+12:00)
To: Shannon Watson <Shannon.Watson@gw.govt.nz>
Cc: "Van Halderen, Caroline" <Caroline.VanHalderen@stantec.com>, "Grinlinton-Hancock, Michelle" <michelle.grinlinton-hancock@wsp-opus.co.nz>
Subject: RE: WGN190301 site visit for landscape and recreational effects experts

Hello Shannon,

Please see attached review of the recreation assessment by Greenaway and Associates. Note that this is in a draft format and needs to be edited for format.

Thank you for your understanding of the late delivery of this.

Regards

Catherine



Catherine Hamilton

Technical Principal - Landscape Architecture

WSP Opus, The Westhaven, 100 Beaumont St, Auckland 1010, New Zealand
PO Box 5848, Auckland 1141, New Zealand



www.wsp-opus.co.nz

From: Shannon Watson <Shannon.Watson@gw.govt.nz>
Sent: Friday, 10 May 2019 2:45 PM
To: Hamilton, Catherine <catherine.hamilton@wsp-opus.co.nz>
Cc: Van Halderen, Caroline <Caroline.VanHalderen@stantec.com>
Subject: Re: WGN190301 site visit for landscape and recreational effects experts

Hi Catherine

That is awful, I am very sorry to hear that.

The applicant has not yet paid the invoice for the consent and therefore the clock has not yet started ticking, so the (formal) time pressure on this has fallen away somewhat. Obviously we are still keen to get all of the review comments squared away as soon as possible so I can start drafting the s92 request.

A draft would be good such that I can have a look and come back with any questions or comments over the weekend before the memo is finalised.

My thoughts are with you and your family.

Kia kaha
Shannon

From: Hamilton, Catherine <catherine.hamilton@wsp-opus.co.nz>
Sent: Friday, May 10, 2019 12:29:52 PM
To: Shannon Watson

Cc: Van Halderen, Caroline

Subject: RE: WGN190301 site visit for landscape and recreational effects experts

Hello Shannon,

This is an update on the Eastern Bays recreation assessment peer review. I have progressed this through this week with a view to meeting the timeframe today.

Unfortunately, my nephew's boy who is terminally ill has declined and will pass away within the next week. This has taken me away from work over the week.

The report is well on the way to being complete. I could send you a draft by close of day, or the final reviewed memo on Monday.

What is your preference?

Regards

Catherine



Catherine Hamilton

Technical Principal - Landscape Architecture

WSP Opus, The Westhaven, 100 Beaumont St, Auckland 1010, New Zealand
PO Box 5848, Auckland 1141, New Zealand



www.wsp-opus.co.nz

From: Shannon Watson <Shannon.Watson@gw.govt.nz>

Sent: Wednesday, 1 May 2019 4:45 PM

To: Van Halderen, Caroline <Caroline.VanHalderen@stantec.com>

Cc: Head, Jeremy <jeremy.head@wsp-opus.co.nz>; Hamilton, Catherine <catherine.hamilton@wsp-opus.co.nz>;

Simon Cager <Simon.Cager@huttcity.govt.nz>; Povall, Jamie <Jamie.Povall@stantec.com>

Subject: Re: WGN190301 site visit for landscape and recreational effects experts

That's great thanks Caroline. Catherine and Jeremy should have all of the relevant information as I sent through the Stantec shared folder link in my formal request for review email.

Unfortunately I won't be in the office until 10 and then have a mandatory relationship building meeting with the HCC planning team until 11. I will however drive out afterwards and meet you all on site.

See you all in the morning.

Shannon

From: Van Halderen, Caroline <Caroline.VanHalderen@stantec.com>

Sent: Wednesday, May 1, 2019 4:35:06 PM

To: Shannon Watson

Cc: Head, Jeremy; Hamilton, Catherine; Simon Cager; Povall, Jamie

Subject: RE: WGN190301 site visit for landscape and recreational effects experts
























Hi Shannon

I've actually gone ahead and spoken directly with Catherine to co-ordinate plans. We've arranged to meet at Point Howard at 10.30. So that'll give you time to meet before hand at the GW offices.

Catherine wasn't sure whether they had all the reports as it seemed as though there may be some gaps.

Here is the link to the full application. Appendix D is the Landscape and Visual Assessment and Appendix K is the Recreation Assessment. Other reports that would be helpful are:

- App O Visualisations
- App J Design Features
- App G Alternatives Assessment (with more visualisations)

<input type="checkbox"/>	Name ▲	Size ▲	Date ▲
<input type="checkbox"/>	 1 Eastern Bays Shared Path - Application Forms.pdf	2.52 MB	4/16/2019 23:02:19
<input type="checkbox"/>	 2 Eastern Bays Shared Path AEE_ Lodgement.pdf	2.91 MB	4/16/2019 23:04:20
<input type="checkbox"/>	 App A-1 Intertidal Ecology AEE Lodgement.pdf	10.35 MB	4/14/2019 18:43:27
<input type="checkbox"/>	 App A-2 Beach nourishment AEE Lodgement.pdf	9.14 MB	4/14/2019 18:42:47
<input type="checkbox"/>	 APP B Freshwater Fish Passage Requirements Lodgement.pdf	6.57 MB	4/14/2019 18:43:35
<input type="checkbox"/>	 App C-1 Vegetation and Fauna AEE Lodgement.pdf	6.70 MB	4/14/2019 20:38:15
<input type="checkbox"/>	 App C-2 Seagrass Survey Final Lodgement.pdf	2.20 MB	4/14/2019 18:42:52
<input type="checkbox"/>	 App D Landscape and Visual Assessment Lodgement.pdf	5.05 MB	4/14/2019 18:43:02
<input type="checkbox"/>	 App E Coastal Processes Lodgement.pdf	24.91 MB	4/14/2019 18:43:28
<input type="checkbox"/>	 App F Beach Nourishment Lodgement.pdf	19.54 MB	4/14/2019 18:43:25
<input type="checkbox"/>	 App G Alternatives Assessment Report Lodgement.pdf	14.08 MB	4/14/2019 18:43:34
<input type="checkbox"/>	 App H Cultural Impact Assessment Lodgement.pdf	900.27 KB	4/14/2019 18:43:32
<input type="checkbox"/>	 App I Stakeholder Engagement and Consultation Report Lodgement.pdf	35.82 MB	4/16/2019 23:07:13
<input type="checkbox"/>	 App J Design Features Report Lodgement.pdf	1.52 MB	4/14/2019 18:43:36
<input type="checkbox"/>	 App K Recreation Assessment Lodgement.pdf	7.83 MB	4/14/2019 18:43:43
<input type="checkbox"/>	 App L Eastern Bays Transport Assessment Lodgement.pdf	2.23 MB	4/14/2019 18:43:42
<input type="checkbox"/>	 App M Base Info Plans Lodgement.pdf	112.16 MB	4/14/2019 18:44:53
<input type="checkbox"/>	 App N Preliminary Design Plans REV J Lodgement.pdf	28.83 MB	4/14/2019 18:45:23
<input type="checkbox"/>	 App O Visualisations Lodgement.pdf	12.37 MB	4/14/2019 18:43:56
<input type="checkbox"/>	 App P CTs Lodgement.pdf	164.47 KB	4/14/2019 18:43:50
<input type="checkbox"/>	 App Q Written approvals.pdf	36.12 KB	4/14/2019 20:44:05
<input type="checkbox"/>	 App R Proposed Conditions_Final Lodgement.pdf	90.88 KB	4/14/2019 18:43:56
<input type="checkbox"/>	 App S Statutory Assessment Lodgement.pdf	3.46 MB	4/16/2019 23:09:07

I'll have a Health & Safety Plan prepared for the team to sign.

See you all tomorrow.

Ngā Mihi | Kind regards,

Caroline van Halderen

Senior Planner

Stantec New Zealand Ltd
Level 13, 80 The Terrace
Wellington, 6011 New Zealand

Phone: +64 4 381 5716

Caroline.VanHalderen@stantec.com



The content of this email is the confidential property of Stantec and should not be copied, modified, retransmitted, or used for any purpose except with Stantec's written authorisation. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete all copies and notify us immediately.

 Please consider the environment before printing this email.

From: Shannon Watson <Shannon.Watson@gw.govt.nz>

Sent: Wednesday, 1 May 2019 4:22 p.m.

To: Van Halderen, Caroline <Caroline.VanHalderen@stantec.com>

Cc: Head, Jeremy <jeremy.head@wsp-opus.co.nz>; Hamilton, Catherine <catherine.hamilton@wsp-opus.co.nz>

Subject: Fw: WGN190301 site visit for landscape and recreational effects experts

Sorry for late notice. Is this achievable ?

From: Head, Jeremy <jeremy.head@wsp-opus.co.nz>

Sent: Wednesday, May 1, 2019 4:21:05 PM

To: Shannon Watson; Hamilton, Catherine; Grinlinton-Hancock, Michelle

Subject: RE: WGN190301 site visit for landscape and recreational effects experts

Hi Shannon,

Catherine and I will arrive in Wellington tomorrow morning around 8am. We'll have some breakfast/coffee, hire a car at the airport and go to the site. I know this is short notice, but we've only just been able to get flights – are you able to organise the project team to meet us on site – perhaps 9.30am or so?

Kind regards,
Jeremy

From: Shannon Watson <Shannon.Watson@gw.govt.nz>

Sent: Tuesday, 30 April 2019 2:14 PM

To: Head, Jeremy <jeremy.head@wsp-opus.co.nz>; Hamilton, Catherine <catherine.hamilton@wsp-opus.co.nz>

Subject: WGN190301 site visit for landscape and recreational effects experts

Hi both,

Presumably you will be wanting to undertake a site visit prior to finalising comments coming out of your review of the technical reports? I have spoken to the applicant's Project team and they are happy to escort you through the project area and provide additional context/answer any questions you may have during the site visit.

If you could let me know the dates which work for you I could look to formalise a date for the site visit over the next few days.

Kind regards

Shannon Watson | Environmental Regulation

GREATER WELLINGTON REGIONAL COUNCIL

Te Pane Matua Taiao

Shed 39, 2 Fryatt Quay, Pipitea, Wellington 6011 | PO Box 11646, Manners St, Wellington 6142

T: 04 830 4461

ATTENTION: This correspondence is confidential and intended for the named recipient(s) only. If you are not the named recipient and receive this correspondence in error, you must not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it and you should delete it from your system and notify the sender immediately. Unless otherwise stated, any views or opinions expressed are solely those of the author, and do not represent those of the organisation.

NOTICE: This communication and any attachments ("this message") may contain information which is privileged, confidential, proprietary or otherwise subject to restricted disclosure under applicable law. This message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use, disclosure, viewing, copying, alteration, dissemination or distribution of, or reliance on, this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, or you are not an authorized or intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this message, delete this message and all copies from your e-mail system and destroy any printed copies.

-LAEmHhHzdJzBITWfa4Hqs7pbKI

ATTENTION: This correspondence is confidential and intended for the named recipient(s) only. If you are not the named recipient and receive this correspondence in error, you must not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it and you should delete it from your system and notify the sender immediately. Unless otherwise stated, any views or opinions expressed are solely those of the author, and do not represent those of the organisation.

ATTENTION: This correspondence is confidential and intended for the named recipient(s) only. If you are not the named recipient and receive this correspondence in error, you must not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it and you should delete it from your system and notify the sender immediately. Unless otherwise stated, any views or opinions expressed are solely those of the author, and do not represent those of the organisation.

ATTENTION: This correspondence is confidential and intended for the named recipient(s) only. If you are not the named recipient and receive this correspondence in error, you must not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it and you should delete it from your system and notify the sender immediately. Unless otherwise stated, any views or opinions expressed are solely those of the author, and do not represent those of the organisation.

ATTENTION: This correspondence is confidential and intended for the named recipient(s) only. If you are not the named recipient and receive this correspondence in error, you must not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it and you should delete it from your system and notify the sender immediately. Unless otherwise stated, any views or opinions expressed are solely those of the author, and do not represent those of the organisation.