

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

Submitter ID:

File No:



greater WELLINGTON
REGIONAL COUNCIL
Te Pane Matua Taiao

Further Submission on Proposed Natural Resources Plan for the Wellington Region



Fold here

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991.

Please complete this form to make a further submission on the Proposed Natural Resources Plan for the Wellington Region (**PNRP**). All sections of this form need to be completed for the submission to be accepted.

A further submission may only be made by a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest, or a person that has an interest in the PNRP greater than the interest that the general public has, or the Wellington Regional Council itself. A further submission must be limited to a matter in support of, or in opposition to, a submission made on the PNRP.

For information on making a further submission see the Ministry for the Environment website:

www.mfe.govt.nz/publications/rma/everyday-guide-rma-making-submission-about-proposed-plan-or-plan-change

Return your signed further submission to the Wellington Regional Council by post or email by 5pm Tuesday 29 March 2016 to:

Greater Wellington Regional Council
Further Submission on Proposed Natural Resources Plan
for the Wellington Region
Freepost 3156
PO Box 11646
Manners Street
Wellington 6142

Regionalplan@gw.govt.nz

Fold here

FreePost Authority Number 3156



Greater Wellington Regional Council
Further Submission on Proposed Natural Resources Plan for the Wellington Region
Freepost 3156
PO Box 11646
Manners Street
Wellington 6142

FORM 6: FURTHER SUBMISSION FORM

This is a further submission in support of, or opposition to, a submission on the PNRP.

A. DETAILS OF FURTHER SUBMITTER

FULL NAME

ORGANISATION (* the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of)

ADDRESS FOR SERVICE (INCLUDING POSTCODE)

PHONE

FAX

EMAIL

Only certain people may make further submissions

Please tick the option that applies to you:

- I am a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest; or
 I am a person who has an interest in the PNRP that is greater than the interest the general public has.

Specify below the grounds for saying that you are within the category you have ticked.

Service of your further submission

Please note that you must **serve a copy of this further submission on the original submitter no later than five working days after this further submission has been provided to Wellington Regional Council.**

If you have made a further submission on a number of original submissions, then copies of your further submission will need to be served on each original submitter.

Signature:

Date:

Signature of person making further submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the further submission. A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.

Please note

All information contained in a further submission under the Resource Management Act 1991 becomes public information. All further submissions will be put on our website and will include all personal details included in the further submission.

B. APPEARANCE AT HEARING

Please select from the following:

I do not wish to be heard in support of my further submission; or

I do wish to be heard in support of my further submission; and, if so,

I would be prepared to consider presenting this further submission in a joint case with others making a similar further submission at any hearing.

Please enter further submission points in the table on the following pages

C. FURTHER SUBMISSION POINTS

Please complete the following table with details of which original submission points you support and/or oppose, and why, adding further rows as necessary.

Details of the submission you are commenting on	Original submission number	Position	Part(s) of the submission you support or oppose	Reasons	Relief sought
Name of person/ group making original submission and postal address.	The original submission number can be found on the submitter address list.	Whether you support or oppose the submission.	Indicate which parts of the original submission (which submission points) you support or oppose, together with any relevant PNRP provisions.	Why you support or oppose each submission point.	The part or whole of each submission point you wish to be allowed or disallowed.
<i>e.g.</i> Joanne Bloggs 12 Pine Tree Avenue Redwood	<i>e.g.</i> submitter S102	<i>e.g.</i> Oppose	<i>e.g.</i> Oppose all of submission point S102/41	<i>e.g.</i> The submission point does not recognise...	<i>e.g.</i> Disallow the parts of S102/41 relating to...
2. Interpretation					
Roading, Parks and Gardens and Solid Waste departments of Hutt City Council and Upper Hutt City Council PO Box 2083 Wellington, 6140 New Zealand	S85	Support	Support in part submission point S85/085	CentrePort Limited is not in principle opposed to a new definition of "seawall". CentrePort's support is conditional on the wording of the definition.	Allow in part submission point S85/085 relating to a new definition of "seawall", to the extent that the proposed definition is not inconsistent with or contrary to the relief sought in CentrePort Limited's submissions on the Plan.
3. Objectives					
Trelissick Park Group c/- 51 Heke Street, Ngaio, Wellington 6035	S88	Oppose	Oppose submission point S88/019	The submission specifically seeks improved public access to the Kaiwharawhara Point area. CentrePort Limited considers specific provision is not appropriate in an Objective and prefers the relief sought in CentrePort Limited's submissions on the Plan.	Disallow in whole submission point S88/019
Trelissick Park Group c/- 51 Heke Street, Ngaio, Wellington 6035	S88	Oppose	Oppose submission point S88/020	The submission specifically seeks improved public access to the Kaiwharawhara Point area.	Disallow in whole submission point S88/020

Details of the submission you are commenting on	Original submission number	Position	Part(s) of the submission you support or oppose	Reasons	Relief sought
				CentrePort Limited considers specific provision is not appropriate in an Objective and prefers the relief sought in CentrePort Limited's submissions on the Plan.	
Trelissick Park Group c/- 51 Heke Street, Ngaio, Wellington 6035	S88	Oppose	Oppose submission point S88/021	The submission specifically seeks improved public access to the Kaiwharawhara Point area. CentrePort Limited considers specific provision is not appropriate in an Objective and prefers the relief sought in CentrePort Limited's submissions on the Plan.	Disallow in whole submission point S88/021
4. Policies					
Trelissick Park Group c/- 51 Heke Street, Ngaio, Wellington 6035	S88	Oppose	Oppose submission point S88/017	The submission seeks to protect the natural character of the Kaiwharawhara Stream and Estuary from inappropriate development. CentrePort Limited opposes this submission point on the basis that it is inconsistent with the relief sought it in its original submission on the Plan with regard to the management of the Kaiwharawhara Stream and Estuary.	Disallow in whole submission point S88/017
12. Schedules/related general submission points					
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society PO Box 631 Wellington, 6140 New Zealand	S353	Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S353/179	CentrePort Limited opposes the extension of Schedules F4 and F5 to include sites within the coastal environment as this may constrain the use and development of CentrePort's infrastructure.	Disallow in whole submission point S353/179
Wellington Civic Trust PO Box 10183 Wellington, 6143 New Zealand	S62	Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S62/025	CentrePort Limited does not consider it is appropriate to recognise and provide for the parts of the wharf edges and reclamation	Disallow in whole submission point S62/025

Details of the submission you are commenting on	Original submission number	Position	Part(s) of the submission you support or oppose	Reasons	Relief sought
				edges which are currently protected under the Regional Coastal Plan as part of the sites of historic heritage values in the Proposed Plan.	
Wellington Civic Trust PO Box 10183 Wellington, 6143 New Zealand	S62	Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S62/026	CentrePort Limited considers there should be recognition of the potential conflict between the operation and maintenance of regionally significant infrastructure of the operational Port and the values of the Kaiwharawhara Stream and Estuary. CentrePort Limited also considers that until any separate planning exercise (as suggested) is undertaken that either the Kaiwharawhara Stream and Estuary be deleted from Schedule F4; or provision be made for Port related activities as discretionary activities.	Disallow in whole submission point S62/026
Wellington Civic Trust PO Box 10183 Wellington, 6143 New Zealand	S62	Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S62/027	CentrePort Limited considers there should be recognition of the potential conflict between the operation and maintenance of regionally significant infrastructure of the operational Port and the values of the Kaiwharawhara Stream and Estuary. CentrePort Limited also considers that until any separate planning exercise (as suggested) is undertaken that either the Kaiwharawhara Stream and Estuary be deleted from Schedule F4; or provision be made for Port related activities as discretionary activities (i.e. the relief	Disallow in whole submission point S62/027

Details of the submission you are commenting on	Original submission number	Position	Part(s) of the submission you support or oppose	Reasons	Relief sought
				sought in CentrePort's original submission on the Plan).	
Trelissick Park Group c/- 51 Heke Street, Ngaio, Wellington 6035	S88	Oppose	Oppose all of submission point S88/015	CentrePort Limited considers there should be recognition of the potential conflict between the operation and maintenance of regionally significant infrastructure of the operational Port and the values of the Kaiwharawhara Stream and Estuary. CentrePort Limited also considers that until any separate planning exercise (as suggested) is undertaken that either the Kaiwharawhara Stream and Estuary be deleted from Schedule F4; or provision be made for Port related activities as discretionary activities (i.e. the relief sought in CentrePort's original submission on the Plan).	Disallow in whole submission point S88/015

If you require more space for additional comments, please insert new rows as needed

Attachment: CentrePort Limited – Further Submission

Details of the submission you are commenting on	Original submission number	Position	Part(s) of the submission you support or oppose	Reasons	Relief sought
<p>Heritage New Zealand</p> <p>PO Box 2629 Wellington, 6140 New Zealand</p>	<p>S 94</p>	<p>Oppose</p>	<p>Oppose all of submission point S94/015</p>	<p>This submission seeks to amend Schedule E2 by adding Kings Wharf and Glasgow Wharf. CentrePort Limited, as owner of these wharves, opposes this submission as it could significantly and unduly constrain CentrePort's operations in this area.</p>	<p>Disallow in whole submission point S94/015</p>