

Submitter ID:

File No:

Further Submission

on Proposed Natural Resources Plan for the Wellington Region



Fold here

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991.

Please complete this form to make a further submission on the Proposed Natural Resources Plan for the Wellington Region (**PNRP**). All sections of this form need to be completed for the submission to be accepted.

A further submission may only be made by a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest, or a person that has an interest in the PNRP greater than the interest that the general public has, or the Wellington Regional Council itself. A further submission must be limited to a matter in support of, or in opposition to, a submission made on the PNRP.

For information on making a further submission see the Ministry for the Environment website:
www.mfe.govt.nz/publications/rma/everyday-guide-rma-making-submission-about-proposed-plan-or-plan-change

Return your signed further submission to the Wellington Regional Council by post or email by 5pm Tuesday 29 March 2016 to:

Greater Wellington Regional Council
Further Submission on Proposed Natural Resources Plan
for the Wellington Region
Freepost 3156
PO Box 11646
Manners Street
Wellington 6142

Regionalplan@gw.govt.nz

Fold here

FreePost Authority Number 3156



Greater Wellington Regional Council
Further Submission on Proposed Natural Resources Plan for the Wellington Region
Freepost 3156
PO Box 11646
Manners Street
Wellington 6142

FORM 6: FURTHER SUBMISSION FORM

This is a further submission in support of, or opposition to, a submission on the PNRP.

A. DETAILS OF FURTHER SUBMITTER

FULL NAME

-

ORGANISATION (* the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of)

The Carter Family

ADDRESS FOR SERVICE (INCLUDING POSTCODE)

C/O Land Matters Ltd, 20 Addington Road, Otaki 5581

PHONE

06 364 7293

FAX

EMAIL

anna@landmattersnz.com

Only certain people may make further submissions

Please tick the option that applies to you:

I am a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest; or

I am a person who has an interest in the PNRP that is greater than the interest the general public has.

Specify below the grounds for saying that you are within the category you have ticked.

The Carter Family are landowners and farmers in the Wellington Regional and for this reason we have an interest in the PNRP that is greater than the interest the general public has.

Service of your further submission

Please note that you must **serve a copy of this further submission on the original submitter no later than five working days after this further submission has been provided to Wellington Regional Council.**

If you have made a further submission on a number of original submissions, then copies of your further submission will need to be served on each original submitter.

Signature:

Date:

Signature of person making further submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the further submission. A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.

Please note

All information contained in a further submission under the Resource Management Act 1991 becomes public information. All further submissions will be put on our website and will include all personal details included in the further submission.

B. APPEARANCE AT HEARING

Please select from the following:

I do not wish to be heard in support of my further submission; or

I do wish to be heard in support of my further submission; and, if so,

I would be prepared to consider presenting this further submission in a joint case with others making a similar further submission at any hearing.

Please enter further submission points in the table on the following pages

C. FURTHER SUBMISSION POINTS

Please complete the following table with details of which original submission points you support and/or oppose, and why, adding further rows as necessary.

Details of the submission you are commenting on Name of person/ group making original submission and postal address.	Original submission number The original submission number can be found on the submitter address list.	Position Whether you support or oppose the submission.	Part(s) of the submission you support or oppose Indicate which parts of the original submission (which submission points) you support or oppose, together with any relevant PNRP provisions.	Reasons Why you support or oppose each submission point.	Relief sought The part or whole of each submission point you wish to be allowed or disallowed.
<i>e.g.</i> Joanne Bloggs 12 Pine Tree Avenue Redwood	<i>e.g.</i> submitter S102	<i>e.g.</i> Oppose	<i>e.g.</i> Oppose all of submission point S102/41	<i>e.g.</i> The submission point does not recognise...	<i>e.g.</i> Disallow the parts of S102/41 relating to...
Egon Guttke	S14	P7 They seek amendment	Support	Support inclusion of production forestry in this list	Include production forestry in this list
Egon Guttke	S14	P10 – they oppose	Support submission	Either delete this policy or amend to refer only to “secondary contact recreation.”	Delete (a), (b), (c) and (d) as these are non-compulsory values and should only be considered catchment by catchment basis through the Wahitua process
Egon Guttke	S14	P18 – they oppose the word “having particular...”	Support submission	For reasons given by submittor	Delete words “having particular ...” from P18(a)
Egon Guttke	S14	R42 – they oppose 50gm/m ³	Support submission	Support increasing discharge rates of contaminants (particularly from sediment run-off) to a more realistic figure of 100gm/m ³ and exclude ‘diffuse’ discharges of contaminants, particularly sediment following the harvesting of production forests provided that forest harvesting used the best management techniques available to minimise sediment runoff. Also support including a timeframe within which such a rule applies such as between 48 hrs – 72 hours after the first flush following the final stage of harvesting (or similar). The current wording of this rule is making	Amend figure to 100gm/m ³ EITHER specifically exclude vegetation clearance associated with [production forest harvesting from this rule; OR Include a time period within which this rule applies to vegetation clearance for production forest harvesting say between 48 hrs and 72 hours following first flush after completion of the last stage of harvesting.

Details of the submission you are commenting on	Original submission number	Position	Part(s) of the submission you support or oppose	Reasons	Relief sought
				harvesting of all forests on hill country, particularly on the west coast a restricted activity when the intention of the PNRP is to allow forest harvesting subject to a management plan. The focus of the PNRP in respect of forest harvesting should be in terms of "managing" sediment run-off not restricting forest harvesting.	
Egon Guttke	S14	R48 – submittor opposes	Support in part	For the reasons given in submission	Delete clause (a)
Egon Guttke	S14	R67 – submittor opposes	Support	Oppose the 'non-complying' status for non-compliance with R42 which relate to streams/habitats that are Schedule A, Schedule F1, Schedule F3, Schedule F4 for the reasons given in the submission	Include the provisions in R48 in clause R67(b) Exclude discharge from vegetation clearance from a production forest where a forest management plan has been approved
Egon Guttke	S14	R71 – submittor opposes	Support	Oppose rule for reasons given in submission.	Reinstate current rules under Operative District Plan Deelte Rul71(a)(i), (a)(ii), (a)(iii) Keep remaining rules and rdue (a)(i) to to 20m
Egon Guttke	S14	R99, R101 and R102 and R103 – Submitter seeks amendment	Support	Support clarification around terminology. Supporting making production forest harvesting a permitted or controlled activity including any discharge of contaminants (sediment) and associated earthworks	Insert "direct" before "discharges of stormwater." Delete "or onto land where it may enter water" Amend definition of erosion prone land to refer tro slopes of 28 degrees Make R101 and R103 a controlled activity Add before "stormwater" the term "minor contaminants" under R99, R100, R101, R102 and R103 Add to R99(d); R100(b); R102(e) the words, "shall not ater 48 hours and bdfore 72 hours following the first flush following last harvest ..."

Details of the submission you are commenting on	Original submission number	Position	Part(s) of the submission you support or oppose	Reasons	Relief sought
					Exclude the harvesting of up to 10hectares on erosion prone land under R100 and R102 a permitted activity subject to a forest harvest management plan Allow discharges of sediment from vegetation clearance up to 10ha a permitted activity
Egon Guttke	S14	R116 – submittor opposes in part	Support	Support changing the catchment size to 200ha for reasons given	Amend R116 (m) to 200ha
Hamish Trolove	S31	R102 – submittor supports forestry as a carbon sink	Support	Support forestry particularly on erosion prone land subject to management of harvesting techniques to minimise sediment run-off. Need to acknowledge the benefits of forestry	Include reference in relevant objects and policies to benefits of production forestry as a carbon sink and as management of erosion prone land.
Allan Smith	S35	Definition of Erosion Prone Land - submittor opposes	Support	Support change back to definition of 28 degrees.	Amend to 28 degrees
Allan Smith	S35	027 – Submission opposes vegetated riparian margins	Support	As per the reasons set out in submission	Amend to read “... the benefits of riparian margins are promoted and landowners supported to establish and maintain vegetated riparian margins where practicable.”
Allan Smith	S35	P7 – Amend	Support	For reasons given in submission	Amend wording at bottom of list (a) – (k) as follows, “shall be balanced when considering potential adverse effects” Also include this list – production forestry as a carbon sink and soil stabiliser
Allan Smith	S35	P71 – Oppose rules around Pit Latrines	Support	For reasons given in submission	Seek changes as per comments of submittor
Jukon NZ Ltd	S77	P7 – amend to recognise forestry as a beneficial activity.	Support	For reasons given in submissions	Amend wording at bottom of list (a) – (k) as follows, “shall be balanced when considering potential adverse effects” Also include this list – production forestry as a carbon sink and soil stabiliser

Details of the submission you are commenting on	Original submission number	Position	Part(s) of the submission you support or oppose	Reasons	Relief sought
Jukon NZ Ltd	S77	R102 – Support in part where it promotes forestry and erosion prone land	Support	Support allowing forest harvesting associated earthworks and discharges of contaminants (sediment) on erosion prone land as a permitted activity where there is an approved forest management plan in place or where it is less than 10hectares	Amend R100(b) to EITHER make it a permitted activity where there is a forest maangement plan in place; OR refelect measurements being taken within a specified timeframe after a flush being between 48 hours and 72 hours after first flush following last harvest.
Department of Conservation	S75	P8 – Support in part	Support in part	Should be promoting vegetating erosion prone land; tourisim activities that promote sustainable use of natural and physical resources; new technology that reduces effects of agriculture on waterbodies as beneficial activities	Include the list of activities (left) in P8
Department of Conservation S75	S75	P97 – Support in part	Oppose	Oppose requirement for offsetting when harvesting forestry	Delete references to offsetting or exempt production forestry activities.

If you require more space for additional comments, please insert new rows as needed