

Proposed Natural Resources Plan:

Submitter:

Bryce Wilkinson

Submitter Number:

S109

To: Freepost 3156
 Wellington Regional Council
 PO Box 11646
 Wellington 6142

Or email: regionalplan@gw.govt.nz

Your details

Full name: Bryce Derek Wilkinson

Organisation name: (If applicable)

Address for Service: PO Box 10-972
 Wellington
 6143

Telephone no's: Work: 04 472 5986 Home: 04 499 7768 Cell:

Contact person: Bryce Wilkinson

Address and telephone no (if different from above): 37/54 Hill Street, Thorndon, 6011

Electronic communication

Wellington Regional Council has a preference for providing information about the Proposed Natural Resources Plan via email. We will send you updates on the process, information and provide you with details of any meetings and the hearing. Please tick here if you do not agree to receive communication via email.

Email address: bryceleew@gmail.com

Trade competition

- I/we **could not** gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. [Go straight to **Your Submission**]
- I/we **could** gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.
 If you **could** gain an advantage please complete one of the following:
- I/we **are** directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of my submission that adversely affects the environment and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
 - I/we **are not** directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of my submission that adversely affects the environment and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Your submission

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Sections 3.1-3.13, Objectives 5, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 34-51, 58	My submission on this provision is: →	<input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	None of these objectives should be pursued except to the degree that the benefits to affected members of the community exceed the costs. To pursue them beyond this point it would be to reduce community well-being, yet that is what the wording promotes.
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	All be amended to provide that the objective is only to be pursued to the degree that the benefits to affected members of the community exceed the costs.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Sections 4.1-4.10, all policies that are connected to objectives 5, ,9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 34-51, 58	My submission on this provision is: →	<input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	My objection to the open-ended nature of the enumerated objectives carries over to policies that are designed to pursue such extremist objectives
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	Amend or delete all policies that are connected to these objectives and fail to explicitly require that benefits to affected members of the community exceed the costs.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Sections 5.1-5.7, all rules that result in part from policies that are directed at pursuing objectives 5, ,9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 34-51, 58	My submission on this provision is: →	<input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	As above. Policy P4 is a stand out example of extremism.
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	Amend or delete all rules that are connected to these objectives and fail to explicitly require that benefits to affected members of the community exceed the costs.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): The entire plan	My submission on this provision is: →	<input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	I don't believe that the PNRP complies with the provisions in section 5 of the RMA or with the NCPS 2010. I support the submission of Coastal Ratepayers United in this respect.
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	That the entire plan be reviewed so that all the provisions are directed at improving community wellbeing, taking real resource costs into account and that the focus on risks on likely risks that individuals cannot readily manage or insure themselves against, not remote, speculative risks.

If you have more submissions you wish to make, please find more boxes at the bottom of this document

Attendance and wish to be heard at hearing(s)

- I/We do wish to be heard in support of my/our submission
[Note: This means that you wish to speak in support of your submission at the hearing(s).]
- I/We do not wish to be heard in support of my/our submission
[Note: This means that you cannot speak at the hearing. However, you will still retain your right to appeal any decision made by the Wellington Regional Council to the Environment Court.]
- If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

Signature: B D Wilkinson

Date: 24 September 2015

[Person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making submission. NB. Not required if making an electronic submission]

Publication of details

Wellington Regional Council is legally required to notify a summary of submissions, including your name and address for service as provided on this submission form. Your name and address are included so that a person making a further submission is able to serve you with a copy of it.

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Section 3.1, objective O4	My submission on this provision is: →	<input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	The word 'intrinsic' is fuzzy and redundant.
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	Delete the word 'intrinsic'

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): Policy P3 under heading 4.1	My submission on this provision is: →	<input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	The Policy fails to specify that it is necessary to be cautious about imposing unnecessary costs to community well-being through taking the wrong action (as in the Hippocratic oath).
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	Amend the policy so the words "to reducing the wellbeing of affected members of the community" after "precautionary approach"

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number): "	My submission on this provision is: →	<input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number):	My submission on this provision is: →	<input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	

The specific provisions of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that this submission relates to are:

The specific provision of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan that my submission relates to is (please specify the provision/ section number):	My submission on this provision is: →	<input type="checkbox"/> I support the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I oppose the provision <input type="checkbox"/> I wish to have the specific provision amended
	Reasons for my submission: →	
	I seek the following decision from WRC (give precise details): →	

