

## Submitter Details

<b>Submitter No.</b>	S4
<b>Submitter Name</b>	Dom Harris
<b>Date received</b>	22/08/2022
<b>Notes</b>	
<b>Number of uploaded docs</b>	0

## Submission Points

The following table lists all of the submission points made by this submitter. The details of each submission point can be edited by clicking the 'edit' link, editing the information and then clicking 'submit'.

If you can't find the provision by typing the number, try typing a word in the body of the provision. For example, typing 'polic' will bring up all provisions that have the word 'policy' in their name.

If you need to void (delete) a submission point, click the 'edit' link and change the 'Status' field to 'Void'. Once the 'submit' button has been clicked, the submission point will be removed from the table and any reports.

Reporting Topic	Submission Point	Plan section	Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Decision Requested	Mapping	Scope	Scope recom
No Data									

[+ ADD SUBMISSION POINT](#)

## Raw uploaded submission points

This table contains raw submission point data that has been uploaded from the Council's online submission form. These submission points need to be transferred into Spoken by adding them as submission points using the 'Add submission point' form below or clicking on 'upload directly'. Once the raw uploaded submission has been added into Spoken, please confirm by selecting 'yes' in the 'uploaded into Spoken' field. Submission points that have not been 'lodged' are able to be edited by the submitter until either they have finalised and lodged their submission or after the submission closing date, so consider not summarising those points early.

Raw sub point	Plan section	Provision	Support/oppose	Decision sought	Reasons	Entered into Spoken	Upload directly
S4.1	Chapter 3.1A: Climate change	Issue 1: Greenhouse gas emissions must be reduced significantly, immediately and rapidly	Support	This provision should be linked with the pressure identified on housing in subsequent chapters. New buildings in wellington should only be permitted if they are 'green' which includes increased densification, low emission and eco-friendly design and construction (eco-houses etc.)	Interdependencies must be considered across chapters. Battling climate change and increasing pressure on housing are competing issues but one must not be sacrificed to address the other.	No	<a href="#">upload...</a>
S4.2	Chapter 3.1A: Climate change	Issue 4: The impacts of climate change will exacerbate existing inequities	Support	I am concerned that wealthy suburbs in coastal areas, most at risk of climate change, include the bays, Seatoun, and in particular Eastbourne, will have an inordinate and highly inequitable allocation or resources to mitigate climate change. If the Road to Eastbourne cannot be maintained, the area should be subject to managed retreat, not have the wealthy elites there bailed out at the expense of other areas.	funding must be allocated equitably, based on the impacted number of people, not e.g. the capital at risk, i'd rather funds protect 100 vulnerable houses in a poor area than 10 in a rich one.	No	<a href="#">upload...</a>

S4.3	Chapter 3.8: Natural hazards	Issue 3: Climate change will increase the likelihood and consequences from natural hazard events	Support in part	It may be necessary/beneficial to separate genuine natural hazards from hazards caused/exacerbated by climate change, as these may need to be considered differently in the near future.	There's a lot of focus on sea-level rise and floods. Sea level rise is a longer term problem (except for certain communities) floods, and resultants slips, of which we are seeing an increasing amount, should also be expected with increasing regularity and severity. In particular any extended period of drought will likely be followed by significant flooding. We are currently seeing many slips following a period of extended heavy rain. these factors should be taken into account in future planning	No	<a href="#">upload...</a>
S4.4	Chapter 3.9: Regional form, design and function	General comments - urban development	Oppose in part	Add Johnsonville into the 'corridors of growth'	The last minute, unexplained and inexplicable decision not to include Johnsonville as a area for development and densification in the urban plan is absurd and counter-intuitive. It undermines the broad aspirations of the plan, and this must be reversed to enable common sense development of the region.	No	<a href="#">upload...</a>
S4.5	Chapter 3.9: Regional form, design and function	Issue A: Lack of housing	Support	Include reference to empty/ unused/ earthquake damaged land.	Significant hectarage of land in Wellington has sat vacant for close to a decade. The council should highlight this as a contributing factor and set out powers, actions that will be taken to encourage this land to be unlocked for housing	No	<a href="#">upload...</a>
S4.6	Chapter 3.9: Regional form, design and function	Issue 2: Sporadic, uncontrolled and/or uncoordinated development	Support in part	appropriate sequencing between transport and urban planning	Wellington's bus network won an award during covid for 'rightsizing' the network thanks to real time data from snapper cards. That kind of planning seems generally entirely absent from city planning. Routes must be stood up based on expected volumes before they come on line, not after.	No	<a href="#">upload...</a>
S4.7	Chapter 3.9: Regional form, design	Objective 22	Oppose in part	include policies, methods to	quality of housing is an objective, but there don't seem to	No	<a href="#">upload...</a>

and function

enforce/encourage  
enhanced quality of  
housing

be no policy or  
methods that will  
enforce it, voluntary  
or suggested codes  
have failed and it is  
clear developers will  
always cut costs and  
corners, there must  
be regulation and  
enforcement in place  
to ensure quality and  
healthy housing