

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
<b>S94 Guardians of the Bays Incorporated</b>	S94.019	General comments - non-regulatory methods	Support	Not stated	Retain as notified
<b>S113 Wellington Water</b>	S113.048	General comments - non-regulatory methods	Not Stated / Neutral	Increased urban development is required by the NPS- UD. This has implications for water quality which need to be addressed under the NPS-FM. The proposed method is a first step in reconciling the two NPS outcomes for wastewater.	Insert new Method 57: <b>Method 57: Develop and implement a wastewater management strategy, in partnership with mana whenua/tangata whenua and in collaboration with territorial authorities and water infrastructure providers. The strategy shall:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Recognise the 100 year journey to improve water quality</li> <li>• Set out how to achieve Te Mana o te Wai when managing wastewater</li> <li>• Recognise that the journey may look different in different whaitua or for different mana whenua groups</li> <li>• Be informed by the WIPs and associated documents from mana whenua groups (eg Te Mahere Wai or iwi statements)</li> <li>• Create a framework of priorities and recognise that those priorities will change on the 100 year journey</li> <li>• Result in a planning framework that both implements the NPS- FM and provides appropriate levels of flexibility for this early stage of the 100 year journey</li> </ul>
<b>S113 Wellington Water</b>	S113.049	General comments - non-regulatory methods	Not Stated / Neutral	Te Mana o te Wai is reliant on consistent application of the principles listed in the NPS-FM. The provision of water services in Wellington is subject to increasing regulation and additional regulators. As well as a public health and environmental regulator, an economic regulator is expected to be established by 2025. Wellington Water considers it would be beneficial for water services regulators to work together in an integrated manner.	Insert new Method 58 <b>Method 58: Engage with Taumata Arowai and the water services economic regulator (when established) to ensure a consistent approach to Te Mana o te Wai, including consideration of limits, measures, targets and relationships, particularly where there are overlaps in</b>

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
					<b>functions and roles.</b>
<b>S113 Wellington Water</b>	S113.050	General comments - non-regulatory methods	Not Stated / Neutral	Objective A represents a significant change from existing resource management practice. Rather than constantly referring to mana whenua for guidance on Te Ao Māori (and potentially creating further resourcing implications for mana whenua), the planning industry needs regular opportunities to upskill. As GW is driving this change in approach, GW should facilitate the upskilling.	Insert new method 59 <b>Method 59: GW will run a regular series of workshops/training opportunities about how to deliver integrated management that is guided by Te Ao Māori in Wellington Region.</b>
<b>S137 Greater Wellington Regional Council (GWRC)</b>	S137.057	General comments - non-regulatory methods	Support in part	Greater Wellington considers a new non-regulatory method to support Policy CC.11 is required. This will assist with implementation of the policy.	Under Chapter 4.5.2 - Non-regulatory methods - information and guidance, insert a new method CC.3A as follows: <b>Method CC.3A - Whole of life carbon emissions assessments</b> Develop information to support the development of whole of life carbon emission assessments, in accordance with <b>Policy CC.11.</b> Implementation: <b>Wellington Regional Council</b>
<b>S139 Ian Gunn</b>	S139.001	General comments - non-regulatory methods	Support in part	A number of objectives/policies/methods (e.g. CC4, CC5 and CC6) require publicity of natural hazards, including flooding, especially those which are changing as a result of climate change	Require greater publicity of natural hazards.
<b>S139 Ian Gunn</b>	S139.012	General comments - non-regulatory methods	Not Stated / Neutral	There is an opportunity for council to specifically develop prototypes to advance the nature based approach.	Add a method to supports the investigation of prototypes demonstrating the benefits of nature based solutions.
<b>S158 Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities</b>	S158.032	General comments - non-regulatory methods	Support in part	Considers that reference to resource consents and notices of requirement is moot within this method given that the implementation within a regional or district plan would then filter through to resource consents and notices of requirement.	Amend method as follows: Method 4: Consideration - <del>resource consents, notices of requirement and when</del> changing, varying or reviewing plans Policies 35 to 60, IM.1, IM.2, CC.9, CC.10, CC.11, CC.12, CC.13, CC.14, FW.5, IE.2, UD.2 and UD.3 will be implemented, where relevant, <del>when considering a resource consent, notice of requirement, or when</del> changing, varying or reviewing a district or regional

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
					plan. AND Undertake any consequential changes as requires to reflect the amendment to the title of this method.
<b>S166 Masterton District Council</b>	S166.062	General comments - non-regulatory methods	Support	[Note. This submission point refers Method 10]  Energy efficiency improvements is included as part of our Climate Action Plan so the information would be useful to the work MDC is doing. We are supportive of this method.	Retain
<b>S166 Masterton District Council</b>	S166.063	General comments - non-regulatory methods	Support	[Note. this submission point refers Method 11] Information about water conservation and efficient use is supported. Water resilience is included as part of our Climate Action Plan so the information would be useful for our staff to disseminate to our communities.	Retain
<b>S166 Masterton District Council</b>	S166.064	General comments - non-regulatory methods	Support	[Submission point refers Method 12]  Increased biodiversity and ecosystem health is part of our Climate Action Plan so the information would be useful for achieving these outcomes.	Retain
<b>S170 Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira</b>	S170.067	General comments - non-regulatory methods	Not Stated / Neutral	General comment regarding the methods and the involvement of tangata whenua in the implementation of policies in the Regional Policy Statement: Method 32, 37 and 38. The methods (some more than the others) outlined under the Subject 'Resource Management with Tangata Whenua' should be used and applied to other topics in the RPS. The methods, Method 32, Method 37, and Method 38 are such like and cannot see these spelled out in important topics 'Climate Change', 'Regional Form, design and function', 'Natural Hazards', 'Soils and Minerals'. Suggest adding these methods into these topics.	Methods set out under 'Resource Management with Tangata Whenua' should be used and applied to other topics in the RPS. e.g. 'Climate Change', 'Regional Form, design and function', 'Natural Hazards', 'Soils and Minerals'. Suggest adding these methods into these topics.
<b>S34 Te Kaunihera o Te Awa Kairangi ki Uta, Upper</b>	S34.020	Method CC.1: Climate change education and	Support	Support in principle and that this is a regional function only.	Retain method as notified.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
Hutt City Council		behaviour change programme			
S102 Te Tumu Paeroa   Office of the Māori Trustee	S102.030	Method CC.1: Climate change education and behaviour change programme	Support	Generally supports the methods to implement for the 'Climate Change' chapter.	Retain as notified.
S165 Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	S165.098	Method CC.1: Climate change education and behaviour change programme	Support		Retain
S166 Masterton District Council	S166.071	Method CC.1: Climate change education and behaviour change programme	Support	Increasing public education around climate change issues and solutions is one of the main actions of our newly established Climate Action Plan so we are supportive of this method.	Retain as notified.
S131 Ātiawa ki Whakaron gotai Charitable Trust	S131.0118	Method CC.1: Climate change education and behaviour	Support	Ātiawa support Method CC.1, in particular the inclusion of te ao Māori and mātauranga Māori. Ātiawa seek that mana whenua actively partner with the Regional Council in the development and implementation phase of any programme that uses Ātiawa values and	Support and enable climate education and behaviour change programmes, that include Te Ao Māori and Mātauranga Māori perspectives, to support a fair transition to low emission and climate resilient region. <b>The Regional Council will work in partnership with manawhenua to develop and implement climate</b>

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
		change programme		mātauranga. In addition, this partnership and use of te ao Māori and mātauranga Māori must be enabled through funding and resourcing. Ātiawa note that any use of mātauranga Māori shall be in accordance with tikanga and kawa developed by the relevant mana whenua.	<b>change education and behaviour change programmes that include te ao Māori and mātauranga Māori. Mana whenua are enabled to partner with the Regional Council through adequate funding and resourcing.</b>
<b>S167 Taranaki Whānui</b>	S167.0142	Method CC.1: Climate change education and behaviour change programme	Support in part	Taranaki Whānui support the inclusion of this method.  Taranaki Whānui would like to see clear statements on the resourcing/funding and capability building of mana whenua partners included in the description.	Retain as notified.
<b>S168 Rangitāne O Wairarapa Inc</b>	S168.0149	Method CC.1: Climate change education and behaviour change programme	Support in part	Rangitāne o Wairarapa support the method, but consider that the wording of this method should be stronger, to ensure it is actually achieved.	Amend the method so that the programmes referred to are 'implemented', rather than 'enabled'.
<b>S102 Te Tumu Paeroa   Office of the Māori Trustee</b>	S102.031	Method CC.2: Develop carbon emissions offsetting guidance	Support	Generally supports the methods to implement for the 'Climate Change' chapter.	Retain as notified.
<b>S129 Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency</b>	S129.033	Method CC.2: Develop carbon emissions offsetting guidance	Support in part	Generally supports the intent of this policy but awaits direction from Central Government before being able to confirm full support.	Seeks alignment with the direction from Central Government.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
<b>S137 Greater Wellington Regional Council (GWRC)</b>	S137.012	Method CC.2: Develop carbon emissions offsetting guidance	Oppose	This method is no longer necessary. It was developed to implement an earlier iteration of Policy CC.8, which took a more complex approach to dealing with offsetting. Policy CC.8 (as notified) does not require a method requiring offset guidelines to be developed as it prioritises reducing emissions over offsetting.	Remove Method CC.2 from Proposed RPS Change 1.
<b>S163 Wairarapa Federated Farmers</b>	S163.090	Method CC.2: Develop carbon emissions offsetting guidance	Oppose	Defer to the 2024 RPS review.  We anticipate that national guidance will be developed in this area.	That Method CC.2 be deleted
<b>S165 Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest &amp; Bird)</b>	S165.099	Method CC.2: Develop carbon emissions offsetting guidance	Support in part	Support the development of regional guidelines for carbon offsetting. This method should specify that the guidelines must include a requirement that offsets must be achieved by the planting of indigenous vegetation.	Amend method as follows: Develop offset guidelines to assist with achieving the regional target for greenhouse emissions where reduction cannot be achieved at the source, <b>including a requirement that offsets must be achieved by the planting of indigenous vegetation over plantation forestry.</b>
<b>S166 Masterton District Council</b>	S166.072	Method CC.2: Develop carbon emissions offsetting guidance	Support	Regional guidance on emissions offsetting opportunities and limits would be useful so we are supportive of this method.	Retain as notified.
<b>S131 Ātiawa ki Whakaron gotai Charitable Trust</b>	S131.0119	Method CC.2: Develop carbon emissions offsetting guidance	Support in part	Ātiawa acknowledge that carbon offsetting will be required in certain circumstances, Ātiawa prefer carbon emissions reductions at source are prioritised.	Ātiawa prefer carbon emissions reductions at source are prioritised.
<b>S167 Taranaki Whānui</b>	S167.0143	Method CC.2: Develop	Support in part	Taranaki Whānui support the inclusion of this method. \	Retain as notified.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
		carbon emissions offsetting guidance		Taranaki Whānui would like to see these guidelines developed in partnership with mana whenua.	
<b>S168 Rangitāne O Wairarapa Inc</b>	S168.0150	Method CC.2: Develop carbon emissions offsetting guidance	Support in part	Rangitāne o Wairarapa are strongly opposed to the use of carbon emissions offsetting to achieve GHG reduction targets, unless it can be clearly demonstrated that all other feasible measures have been taken to reduce GHG emissions. Notwithstanding this, Rangitāne o Wairarapa support Method CC.2 to develop carbon emissions offsetting guidance (for situations where no further emission reduction options remain), but request that a timeframe is attached to this method.	Insert a timeframe to this method which is consistent with the other climate change methods in the proposed plan change. The timeframe should be no later than 2024.
<b>S77 James Burgess</b>	S77.002	Method CC.3: Travel demand management plans	Support	Supports Method CC.3 that introduces travel demand management plans. The thresholds for their use should be as low as possible so that they are used wherever they can have an impact.	Retain as notified.
<b>S129 Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency</b>	S129.012	Method CC.3: Travel demand management plans	Support	Supports a coordinated approach to travel demand management and Vehicle Kilometres Travelled (VKT) reduction but notes that there is a lack of clarity about how this will be implemented.	Seeks clarification of how this will be implemented.
<b>S140 Wellington City Council (WCC)</b>	S140.095	Method CC.3: Travel demand management plans	Support in part	Prior to the implementation of the Travel Demand Management Plan, guidance in collaboration with the City and District Councils should be provided to City and District Councils in order to provide greater clarity of intent and ensure consistency across the region.	<del>Where requested, the Wellington Regional Council will develop in partnership with TAs assist city and district councils with determining land use thresholds for triggering a Travel Demand Management Plan requirement, as well as</del> guidelines for a Travel Demand Management Plan that city and district councils can provide to developers to assist them with mitigating the travel movements and associated greenhouse gas emissions arising from new subdivision, use and development.
<b>S141 Generation</b>	S141.006	Method CC.3:	Support	Recognise that greater density is not a silver bullet, and employing greater density doesn't preclude the	Retain as notified.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
Zero Wellington		Travel demand management plans		creation of new greenfield developments. Supports the introduction of the requirement for these developments to present a Travel Demand Management (TDM) plan and believe this is a good and crucial step to ensuring that any of these new developments are founded around principles of sustainable mobility. These plans will help to ensure that future generations are not locked into the car-centric design philosophies that are currently commonplace.	
S158 Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	S158.033	Method CC.3: Travel demand management plans	Oppose	Consider the method unnecessary to state within the context of the RPS.	Delete the method in its entirety.
S166 Masterton District Council	S166.073	Method CC.3: Travel demand management plans	Support	Supportive in principle but we would like to know more about how these plans will work in provincial towns/rural areas.	Retain as notified. However:MDC requests involvement in this work.
S165 Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	S165.0100	Method CC.3: Travel demand management plans	Support in part	As submitted above in respect of policy CC.2, travel demand management plans should not be subject to a threshold.	Amend method as follows: Where requested, the Wellington Regional Council will assist city and district councils with determining <del>land use thresholds for triggering a Travel Demand Management Plan requirement</del> , as well as guidelines for a Travel Demand Management Plan that city and district councils can provide to developers to assist them with mitigating the travel movements and associated greenhouse gas emissions arising from new subdivision, use and development
S167 Taranaki Whānui	S167.0144	Method CC.3: Travel demand management plans	Support	Taranaki Whānui support the inclusion of this method.	Retain as notified.



SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
<b>S168 Rangitāne O Wairarapa Inc</b>	S168.0116	Method CC.3: Travel demand management plans	Support	Rangitāne o Wairarapa support the requirement to provide travel demand management plans to minimise reliance on private vehicles and maximise use of public transport and active modes for all new subdivision, use and development over a specified development threshold. Trigger threshold will need to be carefully considered to ensure the effectiveness of the policy in reducing GHG emissions and guidelines comprehensive to ensure a wide range of options are considered in travel demand management plans to maximise up-take zero and low carbon transport modes.	Retain as notified.
<b>S102 Te Tumu Paeroa   Office of the Māori Trustee</b>	S102.062	Method IE.1: Partnering with mana whenua / tangata whenua to give local effect to Te Rito o te Harakeke	Support in part	Considers Method IE.1 be changed to "regulatory" to guarantee partnership with mana whenua/tangata whenua is established to give effect to Te Rito o te Harakeke by the Regional Council.	Amend Method IE.1 to a 'regulatory' method.
<b>S147 Wellington Fish and Game Council</b>	S147.089	Method IE.1: Partnering with mana whenua / tangata whenua to give local effect to Te Rito o te Harakeke	Support in part	Support the intention to ensure that mana whenua/tangata whenua values are properly recognised and provided for and their role as kaitiaki is supported. At the same time, however, in order to give full effect to the NPS-FM, those values must be considered alongside other recognised values and achieved in partnership with statutory managers of freshwater species and their habitats.	amend. Partner with mana whenua / tangata whenua <b>and stakeholders, and engage with landowners and community</b> , to identify the local approach to give effect to Te Rito o te Harakeke and develop guidance on how to implement this.
<b>S163 Wairarapa Federated Farmers</b>	S163.091	Method IE.1: Partnering with mana whenua / tangata whenua to give local	Oppose	Defer to the 2024 RPS review  We anticipate that national guidance will be developed in this area.	That Method IE.1 be deleted  Delete the FW icon

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
		effect to Te Rito o te Harakeke			
<b>S131 Ātiawa ki Whakaron gotai Charitable Trust</b>	S131.0120	Method IE.1: Partnering with mana whenua / tangata whenua to give local effect to Te Rito o te Harakeke	Support	Ātiawa support Method IE.1. Ātiawa seek that this partnership model is enabled through funding/resourcing.	Insert the following sentence: <b>Mana whenua are enabled to partner with the Regional Council through adequate funding and resourcing.</b>
<b>S165 Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest &amp; Bird)</b>	S165.0101	Method IE.1: Partnering with mana whenua / tangata whenua to give local effect to Te Rito o te Harakeke	Support		Retain
<b>S167 Taranaki Whānui</b>	S167.0145	Method IE.1: Partnering with mana whenua / tangata whenua to give local effect to Te Rito o te Harakeke	Support in part	Taranaki Whānui support the inclusion of this method. In particular we note and support the requirement to work in partnership with mana whenua.  Taranaki Whānui would like to see clear statements on the resourcing/funding and capability building of mana whenua partners included in the description.	Retain as notified.
<b>S11 Outdoor Bliss Heather Blissett</b>	S11.011	Method 14: Information on natural hazards and	Support in part	Let community be part of the solutions.	Method 14 Implementation: Wellington Regional Council and city and district Councils <b>and community</b>

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
		climate change			
<b>S34 Te Kaunihera o Te Awa Kairangi ki Uta, Upper Hutt City Council</b>	S34.017	Method 14: Information on natural hazards and climate change	Oppose in part	It is unclear who is undertaking the research required by this method. We would support the approach, on the basis that it is a regional council responsibility.  This method seems to now require territorial authorities to undertake research rather than prepare and disseminate, which presents a resourcing issue.	Amend to make responsibilities clear and ensure that this is practical and feasible with the organisations/councils impacted by this provision.
<b>S78 Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited</b>	S78.021	Method 14: Information on natural hazards and climate change	Not Stated / Neutral	Accepts that the amendments to operative Method 14 are required to give effect to the NPS-UD but neither supports nor opposes the provisions.	Retain as notified
<b>S102 Te Tumu Paeroa   Office of the Māori Trustee</b>	S102.071	Method 14: Information on natural hazards and climate change	Support	Generally supports the methods to implement for the 'Natural Hazards' chapter.	Retain as notified.
<b>S115 Hutt City Council</b>	S115.094	Method 14: Information on natural hazards and climate change	Support	No reasons given	Retain as notified
<b>S132 Toka Tu Ake EQC</b>	S132.011	Method 14: Information on natural hazards and climate change	Support	We support increased research into natural hazards and the effects of climate change. Resilience of communities to hazards is reliant on the community understanding of the hazards. As such we encourage increasing public education and awareness of this research.	No Change
<b>S137 Greater Wellington</b>	S137.028	Method 14: Information on natural	Support in part	Amendments are required to reflect the intent of the method.	Amend Clause (b) in Method 14 to read: (b) raise awareness and understanding of natural hazards <b>and climate change.</b>

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
<b>Regional Council (GWRC)</b>		hazards and climate change			
<b>S140 Wellington City Council (WCC)</b>	S140.096	Method 14: Information on natural hazards and climate change	Support	Support as proposed.	Retain as notified.
<b>S144 Sustainable Wairarapa Inc</b>	S144.054	Method 14: Information on natural hazards and climate change	Support	Important that developments are sited in areas with minimal hazards.	Retain as notified.
<b>S166 Masterton District Council</b>	S166.065	Method 14: Information on natural hazards and climate change	Support	District resilience is included as part of our Climate Action Plan so the information would be useful for our staff and our communities. We are supportive of this method.	Retain as notified.
<b>S131 Ātiawa ki Whakaron gotai Charitable Trust</b>	S131.0121	Method 14: Information on natural hazards and climate change	Support in part	Ātiawa support the intent of Method 14. Ātiawa seek to partner with Regional Council to undertake research, prepare and disseminate information about natural hazards and climate change. Mana whenua (including our ancestral land, water, sites, wāhi tapu and other taonga) are particularly vulnerable to the impacts of climate change and natural hazards, naturally mana whenua should be actively involved in this method. Ātiawa seek that the Regional Council provide for this partnership through adequate funding and resourcing.	<b>In partnership with mana whenua</b> , undertake research, prepare and disseminate information about natural hazards and climate change effects in order to: (a) guide local authority planning and decision-making; and (b) raise awareness and understanding of natural hazards. <b>Mana whenua are enabled to partner with the Regional Council through adequate funding and resourcing</b>
<b>S165 Royal</b>	S165.0102	Method 14: Information	Support		Retain

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)		on natural hazards and climate change			
S167 Taranaki Whānui	S167.0146	Method 14: Information on natural hazards and climate change	Support	Taranaki Whānui support the inclusion of this method and seek to partner with the regional council in this research, planning and decision-making process.	Retain as notified.
S168 Rangitāne O Wairarapa Inc	S168.0187	Method 14: Information on natural hazards and climate change	Support in part	Rangitāne o Wairarapa seek that reference to 'undertaking research' is more explicit about the potential for the application of Mātauranga Māori (or research tools based on this) to inform natural hazard decision making and understanding.	Amend the method to include reference to Mātauranga Māori based research/monitoring tools: <b>'Undertake research (including use of Mātauranga Maori based research/monitoring methods), prepare and disseminate information about natural hazards and climate change effects in order to:'</b>
S115 Hutt City Council	S115.095	Method 23: Information about natural features to protect property from natural hazards	Support	No reasons given	Retain as notified
S140 Wellington City Council (WCC)	S140.097	Method 23: Information about natural features to protect property from	Support	Support as proposed.	Retain as notified.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
		natural hazards			
<b>S158 Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities</b>	S158.034	Method 23: Information about natural features to protect property from natural hazards	Support	Supports the deletion of these method.	Retain as notified.
<b>S167 Taranaki Whānui</b>	S167.0147	Method 23: Information about natural features to protect property from natural hazards	Support	[Note. No reason given in this submission point.]	Retain as notified.
<b>S115 Hutt City Council</b>	S115.096	Method 25: Information about the provision of walking, cycling and public transport for development	Support	No reasons given	Retain as notified
<b>S140 Wellington City Council (WCC)</b>	S140.098	Method 25: Information about the provision of walking, cycling and public transport for	Support	Support as proposed.	Retain as notified.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
		development			
<b>S158 Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities</b>	S158.035	Method 25: Information about the provision of walking, cycling and public transport for development	Support	Supports the deletion of this method	Retain as notified.
<b>S167 Taranaki Whānui</b>	S167.0148	Method 25: Information about the provision of walking, cycling and public transport for development	Support	[Note. No reason given in this submission point]	Retain as notified.
<b>S30 Porirua City Council</b>	S30.091	Method UD.1: Development manuals and design guides	Support in part	This method should be amended to recognise that design guides should be produced where necessary, reflecting that there is already regional and national guidance that can be used. Council's PDP takes an enabling approach to papakāinga development and does not require compliance with design guides.	Amend policy as follows: Prepare <b>where appropriate</b> the following development manuals and design guidance: (a) Urban design guidance to provide for best practice urban design and amenity outcomes in accordance with Policy 67(a); (b) Papakāinga design guidance that are underpinned by Kaupapa which is Māori in partnership with Mana Whenua in accordance with Policy 67(f); and (c) Urban design guidance and development manuals to assist developers in meeting Policy CC.14 and Policy FW.3. Implementation: Wellington Regional Council and city and district councils (via the Wellington Regional Leadership Committee) <b>and in partnership</b>

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
					<b>with mana whenua</b>
<b>S78 Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited</b>	S78.022	Method UD.1: Development manuals and design guides	Not Stated / Neutral	Accepts that Proposed Method UD.1 is required to give effect to the NPS-UD but neither supports nor opposes the provision.	Retain as notified
<b>S102 Te Tumu Paeroa   Office of the Māori Trustee</b>	S102.080	Method UD.1: Development manuals and design guides	Support	Generally supports the methods to implement for the 'Regional form, design and function' chapter.	Retain as notified.
<b>S115 Hutt City Council</b>	S115.097	Method UD.1: Development manuals and design guides	Oppose in part	Oppose the inclusion of non-regulatory policies and methods that apply to territorial authorities.  It is also inappropriate for a method to direct action through the Wellington Regional Leadership Committee which is not a statutory body with responsibilities under the resource management system.	Amend Method UD.1 to remove implementation by city and district councils and remove reference to the Wellington Regional Leadership Committee.
<b>S129 Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency</b>	S129.034	Method UD.1: Development manuals and design guides	Support in part	Supports the preparation of development manuals and design guidance subject to inclusion of mode choice and the encouragement of intensification in areas that have existing transport infrastructure.  Requests that urban design guidance and development manuals include mode choice and encouragement development in close proximity to existing transport choices.	Amend method to ensure that urban design guidance and development manuals include mode choice and encourage development in close proximity to existing transport choices.
<b>S140 Wellington City Council (WCC)</b>	S140.099	Method UD.1: Development manuals and design guides	Support	Support as proposed.	Retain as notified.
<b>S147 Wellington Fish and Game Council</b>	S147.090	Method UD.1: Development manuals	Support	Necessary to implement the NPS-FM.	Retain as notified.



SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
		and design guides			
<b>S158 Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities</b>	S158.036	Method UD.1: Development manuals and design guides	Support	Support that design guidance is stipulated as a non-statutory method. Seeks that development manuals and design guides should not be a requirement, but only implemented where considered appropriate by District Councils as non-statutory documents.	Amend the method as follows: Prepare the following development manuals and design guidance <b>when considered appropriate by district councils as non-statutory documents:</b> (a) Urban design guidance to provide for best practice urban design and amenity outcomes in accordance with Policy 67(a); (b) Papakāinga design guidance that are underpinned by Kaupapa which is Māori in partnership with Mana Whenua in accordance with Policy 67(f); and (c) Urban design guidance and development manuals to assist developers in meeting Policy CC.14 and Policy FW.3. Implementation: Wellington Regional Council and city and district councils (via the Wellington Regional Leadership Committee)
<b>S166 Masterton District Council</b>	S166.080	Method UD.1: Development manuals and design guides	Support in part	Tier 3 Councils are not required under the NPS to prepare an FDS. The Wairarapa Combined District Plan review in line with this. Need to clarify this is optional for other authorities.	Retain as notified. However: Need to clarify this is optional for other authorities.
<b>S170 Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira</b>	S170.074	Method UD.1: Development manuals and design guides	Not Stated / Neutral	No mention of iwi and tangata whenua in these methods and how they impact iwi, hāpu and Māori.	Clarify how these methods will impact iwi, hāpu and Māori.
<b>S131 Ātiawa ki Whakaron gotai</b>	S131.0122	Method UD.1: Development manuals	Support in part	Ātiawa note that our approach to growth is grounded in and guided by our mātauranga, thus recognising the rangatiratanga of hapū and iwi, applying the enduring wisdom of kaupapa Māori and	<b>In partnership with mana whenua</b> , prepare the following development manuals and design guidance: (a) Urban design guidance to provide for best practice urban design and amenity outcomes in accordance with

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
Charitable Trust		and design guides		<p>enhancing the unique identity and culture of this place. Proactive initiatives are required to ensure that our unique history, identity and culture is respected and given expression in the District. The Design Guides are a key mechanism in giving effect to our kaupapa (values), huanga (vision) through our tikanga (approach) as expressed in Whakarongotai o te moana, Whakarongotai o te wā. Ātiawa support working in partnership with Regional Council to prepare papakāinga design guidance. Ātiawa seek that Regional Council provide for this partnership through adequate funding and resourcing. Additionally Ātiawa seek a partnership model for the entirety of Method UD.1. It is appropriate that the council has identified papakāinga as a kaupapa to work in partnership with mana whenua, we are also interested in all urban development that has the potential to impact on our values, as well as giving effect to concepts such as Te Mana o te Wai (Policy FW.3) - which only mana whenua can provide the local context and meaning. Amend subclause (b) for ease of reading.</p>	<p>Policy 67(a);                      (b) Papakāinga design guidance that are underpinned by kaupapa <del>which is Māori in partnership with Mana Whenua</del> in accordance with Policy 67(f); and (c) Urban design guidance and development manuals to assist developers in meeting Policy CC.14 and Policy FW.3. <b>Mana whenua are enabled to partner with the Regional Council through adequate funding and resourcing.</b></p>
S165 Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	S165.0103	Method UD.1: Development manuals and design guides	Support		Retain
S167 Taranaki Whānui	S167.0149	Method UD.1: Development	Support	<p>Taranaki Whānui notes the insertion of new Method UD.1: Development manuals and design guides. The premise of integrated management outlines the</p>	<p>Amend the policy to read:                      Prepare the following development manuals and design guidance <b>with mana whenua / tangata where</b></p>

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
		nt manuals and design guides		notion to work together. With respect to mana whenua / tangata whenua there is an expectation that this will result in partnership opportunities. At present the new Method UD.1 ring-fences mana whenua / tangata whenua involvement to 'Papakāinga design guidance' at (b). This is insufficient to represent the aspirations Taranaki Whānui has in relation to urban development over our areas of interest.	<b>practicable:</b>  ....
<b>S168 Rangitāne O Wairarapa Inc</b>	S168.0183	Method UD.1: Development manuals and design guides	Support	The inclusion of provision for dedicated Papakāinga Design Guidance based on Kaupapa Māori and produced in partnership with mana whenua / tangata whenua, and linkage to Policy 67(f) is supported.	Retain as notified
<b>S30 Porirua City Council</b>	S30.092	Method IM.1: Integrated management - ki uta ki tai	Support in part	Policy contains grammatical errors and terminology inconsistent with the National Planning Standards.	Amend policy as follows: To achieve integrated management of natural resources, the Wellington Regional Council, <del>district and city councils</del> <b>and territorial authorities</b> shall: (d) partner with and provide support to mana whenua / tangata whenua to provide for their involvement in resource management and decision making; and (e) partner with and provide support to mana whenua / tangata whenua to provide for mātauranga Māori in natural resource management and decision making; and (f) work together with other agencies to ensure consistent implementation of the objectives, policies and methods of this RPS; and (g) enable connected and holistic approach to resource management that looks beyond organisational or administrative boundaries; and (h) recognise that the impacts of activities extend beyond <b>the</b> immediate and directly adjacent area; and (i) require Māori data, including mātauranga

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
					Māori, sites <b>and areas</b> of significance, wāhi tapu <b>and</b> wāhi tūpuna are only shared in accordance with agreed tikanga and kawa Māori; and (j) share data and information (other than in (f) above) across all relevant agencies; and (k) incentivise opportunities and programmes that achieve multiple objectives and benefits. Implementation: Wellington Regional Council* and <del>city and district councils</del> <b>territorial authorities</b>
<b>S102 Te Tumu Paeroa   Office of the Māori Trustee</b>	S102.089	Method IM.1: Integrated management - ki uta ki tai	Support in part	Generally supports Method IM.1 for 'Integrated management'. The methods of implementation of Method IM.1 should be alongside mana whenua/tangata whenua to guarantee that the fundamental concept of ki uta ki tai is integrated appropriately.	Amend Method IM.1 as follows: Implementation: Wellington Regional Council*, city and district councils, <b>and mana whenua/tangata whenua.</b>
<b>S102 Te Tumu Paeroa   Office of the Māori Trustee</b>	S102.090	Method IM.1: Integrated management - ki uta ki tai	Support in part	Generally supports Method IM.1 for 'Integrated management'. However, considers that Method IM.1 be changed to regulatory. This coincides with the requested change of Policy IM.1 to regulatory, further strengthening the implementation of ki uta ki tai.	Amend Method IM.1 to a 'regulatory' method.
<b>S115 Hutt City Council</b>	S115.098	Method IM.1: Integrated management - ki uta ki tai	Oppose in part	Oppose the inclusion of non-regulatory policies and methods that apply to territorial authorities.  See also our comments on proposed Policy IM.1	Amend Method IM.1 so that it does not apply to city and district councils. If the method is retained, amend by deleting clauses (f) and (g).
<b>S129 Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency</b>	S129.035	Method IM.1: Integrated management - ki uta ki tai	Support	Supports Method IM.1(c) as the Wellington Regional Growth Framework provides an opportunity for early multi agency engagement to ensure enabling infrastructure is adequately provided for.	Retain as notified.
<b>S129 Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency</b>	S129.036	Method IM.1: Integrated management	Support in part	Supports Method IM.1(d) a connected approach to resource management, but it is not clear what this method is anticipating to achieve.	Seek to clarify what Method IM.1(d) is anticipated to achieve.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
		nt - ki uta ki tai			
<b>S129 Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency</b>	S129.037	Method IM.1: Integrated manageme nt - ki uta ki tai	Support	Support Method IM.1(e) as acknowledges the need to consider the cumulative impacts of activities and the need to align development with the future development strategies which identify how the well-functioning urban areas will be shaped.	Retain as notified.
<b>S129 Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency</b>	S129.038	Method IM.1: Integrated manageme nt - ki uta ki tai	Support	Supports Method IM.1(g) for the sharing of data and looks forward to an identified information sharing platform.	Retain as notified.
<b>S129 Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency</b>	S129.039	Method IM.1: Integrated manageme nt - ki uta ki tai	Support	Support Method IM.1(h) as acknowledges that we have similar mode shift behaviour change incentives and look forward to working with Greater Wellington Regional Council to rationalise funding.	Retain as notified.
<b>S147 Wellington Fish and Game Council</b>	S147.091	Method IM.1: Integrated manageme nt - ki uta ki tai	Support	Necessary to implement the NPS-FM.	Retain as notified.
<b>S163 Wairarapa Federated Farmers</b>	S163.092	Method IM.1: Integrated manageme nt - ki uta ki tai	Oppose	Our proposed over-arching Objectives A and B are intended to provide a concrete pathway towards a similar result.	That Method IM.1 be deleted.  Delete the FW icon
<b>S131 Ātiawa ki Whakaron gotai Charitable Trust</b>	S131.0123	Method IM.1: Integrated manageme nt - ki uta ki tai	Support	Ātiawa support Method IM.1. Ātiawa is pleased that an integrated management, ki uta ki tai is being applied and enabled through the regional policy statement, including Method IM.1 Ātiawa seek an amendment to (b) to provide for mātauranga Māori to be applied to all resource management and decision-making.	(b) partner with and provide support to mana whenua / tangata whenua to provide for mātauranga Māori in <del>natural</del> resource management and decision making; and

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
<b>S140 Wellington City Council (WCC)</b>	S140.0100	Method IM.1: Integrated management - ki uta ki tai	Support	Support as proposed.	Retain as notified.
<b>S165 Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest &amp; Bird)</b>	S165.0104	Method IM.1: Integrated management - ki uta ki tai	Support		Retain
<b>S167 Taranaki Whānui</b>	S167.0150	Method IM.1: Integrated management - ki uta ki tai	Support	Taranaki Whānui supports Method IM.1	Retain as notified.
<b>S168 Rangitāne O Wairarapa Inc</b>	S168.0148	Method IM.1: Integrated management - ki uta ki tai	Support	Rangitāne o Wairarapa support Method IM.1 to partner with and provide support to mana whenua and require Māori data to only be shared in accordance with agreed tikanga and kawa Māori	Retain as notified
<b>S102 Te Tumu Paeroa   Office of the Māori Trustee</b>	S102.091	Method IM.2: Protection and interpretation of Mātauranga Māori and Māori data	Support in part	Generally supports IM.2 for 'Integrated Management'. However, Method IM.2 should be changed to regulatory, ensuring adequate protection is afforded to mātauranga Māori and Māori data sovereignty within the Proposed Policy Statement.	Amend Method IM.2 to a 'regulatory' method.
<b>S102 Te Tumu Paeroa  </b>	S102.092	Method IM.2: Protection	Support in part	Generally supports Method IM.2 for 'Integrated management'. However, the methods of implementation of Method IM.2 should be alongside	Amend Method IM.2 as follows: Implementation: Wellington Regional Council <b>and mana whenua/tangata whenua.</b>

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
Office of the Māori Trustee		and interpretation of Mātauranga Māori and Māori data		mana whenua/tangata whenua. This will ensure that mātauranga Māori and Māori data sovereignty is protected and interpreted as intended.	
S147 Wellington Fish and Game Council	S147.092	Method IM.2: Protection and interpretation of Mātauranga Māori and Māori data	Support	Necessary to implement the NPS-FM.	Retain as notified.
S131 Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust	S131.0124	Method IM.2: Protection and interpretation of Mātauranga Māori and Māori data	Support	Ātiawa support Method IM.2. Ātiawa seek that the Regional Council provide for this partnership through adequate funding and resourcing.	Insert the following sentence to Method IM.2. <b>Mana whenua are enabled to partner with the Regional Council through adequate funding and resourcing.</b>
S140 Wellington City Council (WCC)	S140.0101	Method IM.2: Protection and interpretation of Mātauranga Māori and Māori data	Support	Support as proposed.	Retain as notified.
S167 Taranaki Whānui	S167.0151	Method IM.2: Protection and interpretation	Support	Taranaki Whānui supports Method IM.2.  Taranaki Whānui want to indicate our intention to partner with council on this important mahi.	Retain as notified.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
		on of Mātauranga Māori and Māori data		Taranaki Whānui are keen to see assurances in regard to resourcing/funding and capability building.	
<b>S168 Rangitāne O Wairarapa Inc</b>	S168.0196	Method IM.2: Protection and interpretation of Mātauranga Māori and Māori data	Support in part	Rangitāne o Wairarapa support the inclusion of this method. This method should be amended to be explicit that mana whenua and tangata whenua will determine how this is implemented.	Amend the method so that it explicit that mana whenua / tangata whenua will define how and when their data will be collected, stored, protected, shared, and managed, and how or when it might be modified or deleted.
<b>S30 Porirua City Council</b>	S30.093	Method FW.2: Joint processing urban development consents	Oppose	The term "urban development" is not defined nor is there a scale or other threshold to be applied before joint processing is required. As drafted, the method would capture applications that are limited notified.  Policy needs to be retitled to tie in to freshwater if this is to be a FW method. Also it is unclear why joint processing would only be appropriate for urban and RSI consents, and not for large-scale rural consents.	Amend method as follows" Method FW.2: <del>Joint processing of urban development</del> <b>resource consents urban development which impact on freshwater</b> When processing resource consents that may impact on freshwater, the Wellington Regional Council, <del>district and city councils</del> <b>territorial authorities</b> shall: (a) jointly process notified resource consents (where both regional and district consents are <b>publicly</b> notified) for urban development and regionally significant infrastructure; (b) encourage resource consent applicants to engage with mana whenua / tangata whenua early in their planning (c) collaborate on pre-application processes; (d) collaborate on the processing of non-notified resource consents; (e) collaborate on monitoring of consent conditions; and (f) exchange information and data to support



SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
					integrated management. Implementation: Wellington Regional Council, <del>district and city councils</del> <b>territorial authorities</b>
<b>S78 Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited</b>	S78.023	Method FW.2: Joint processing urban development consents	Not Stated / Neutral	Accepts that Proposed Method FW.2 is required to give effect to the NPS-UD but neither supports nor opposes the provision.	Retain as notified
<b>S115 Hutt City Council</b>	S115.099	Method FW.2: Joint processing urban development consents	Oppose	Oppose the inclusion of non-regulatory policies and methods that apply to territorial authorities.	Delete new Method FW.2
<b>S129 Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency</b>	S129.040	Method FW.2: Joint processing urban development consents	Support	Supports Method FW.2(a) and the coordinated and collaborative approach proposed by this method point as it will enable well-functioning urban development that aligns with the future development strategies and the regional aspirations.	Retain as notified.
<b>S129 Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency</b>	S129.041	Method FW.2: Joint processing urban development consents	Support	Supports Method FW.2(a) as it is consistent with expectations for engagement with our iwi partners.	Retain as notified.
<b>S147 Wellington Fish and Game Council</b>	S147.093	Method FW.2: Joint processing urban developme	Support	Necessary to implement the NPS-FM.	Retain as notified.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
		nt consents			
<b>S168 Rangitāne O Wairarapa Inc</b>	S168.065	Method FW.2: Joint processing urban development consents	Support	Rangitāne o Wairarapa support this method, in particular early engagement with tangata whenua.	Retain as notified.
<b>S170 Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira</b>	S170.089	Method FW.2: Joint processing urban development consents	Not Stated / Neutral	It is not clear what role Tangata Whenua has in this process.	Amend the provision to clarify role of tangata whenua in this process.
<b>S131 Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust</b>	S131.0125	Method FW.2: Joint processing urban development consents	Support	Ātiawa support Method FW.2, particularly sub-clause (b). In addition, we seek that local authorities engage early with mana whenua.	Insert subclause: <b>(ba) engage early with mana whenua</b>
<b>S140 Wellington City Council (WCC)</b>	S140.0102	Method FW.2: Joint processing urban development consents	Support	Support as proposed.	Retain as notified.
<b>S165 Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest &amp; Bird)</b>	S165.0105	Method FW.2: Joint processing urban development consents	Support		Retain

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
<b>S167 Taranaki Whānui</b>	S167.0152	Method FW.2: Joint processing urban development consents	Support in part	<p>Taranaki Whānui note the new method is focused on joint processing of resource consents for urban development.</p> <p>At (b) is the provision to 'encourage resource consent applicants to engage with mana whenua / tangata whenua. Taranaki Whānui require a discussion as to the impacts of replacing the word encourage with require.</p> <p>Taranaki Whānui can't fully appreciate the impact without a further discussion with officers.</p>	Amend clause (b) to read: (b) <b>encourage require</b> resource consent applicants to engage with mana whenua / tangata whenua early in their planning
<b>S78 Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited</b>	S78.024	Method 17: Reducing waste and greenhouse gases emissions from waste streams	Not Stated / Neutral	Accepts that the amendments to operative Method 17 are required to give effect to the NPS-UD but neither supports nor opposes the provisions.	Retain as notified
<b>S166 Masterton District Council</b>	S166.066	Method 17: Reducing waste and greenhouse gases emissions from waste streams	Support	Waste minimisation is included as part of our Climate Action Plan and Waste Management & Minimisation Plan so the information would be useful for our staff and our communities. We are supportive of this method.	Retain as notified.
<b>S170 Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira</b>	S170.068	Method 17: Reducing waste and greenhouse gases emissions from waste streams	Support in part	<p>Method 17 Promote and assist actions on waste management</p> <p>It is not clear what has changed from the previous method in terms of outcomes. The wording seems that it could be strengthened. The intention of the method is not clear in the drafting; promoting and assisting actions on waste management does not seem to be targeted at what activity they are aiming for - and it is a generic statement that may not find its audience. Could this phrase be changed to say, 'ensure waste management's impact on the environment are removed gradually within the limitations of our current waste management systems'. The methods outlined are targeted at</p>	<p>Strengthen the wording, making the intention of the method clear e.g. promoting and assisting actions on waste management targeted to specific activities.</p> <p>Amend to say, 'ensure waste management's impact on the environment are removed gradually within the limitations of our current waste management systems'.</p> <p>Clarify the authority of District and City councils in relation to this provision.</p>

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
				supporting District and City councils? Ideal to clarify what authority this will apply.	
<b>S115 Hutt City Council</b>	S115.0100	Method 17: Reducing waste and greenhouse gases emissions from waste streams	Oppose	Oppose the inclusion of non-regulatory policies and methods that apply to territorial authorities	Amend Method 17 so that it does not apply to city and district councils.
<b>S131 Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust</b>	S131.0166	Method 17: Reducing waste and greenhouse gases emissions from waste streams	Support	Ātiawa support Method 17. Ātiawa seek that Regional Council provide for this partnership through adequate funding and resourcing.	Insert the following sentence to Method 17. <b>Mana whenua are enabled to partner with the Regional Council through adequate funding and resourcing.</b>
<b>S140 Wellington City Council (WCC)</b>	S140.0103	Method 17: Reducing waste and greenhouse gases emissions from waste streams	Support	Support as proposed.	Retain as notified.
<b>S165 Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest &amp; Bird)</b>	S165.0106	Method 17: Reducing waste and greenhouse gases emissions from waste streams	Support		Retain.
<b>S167 Taranaki Whānui</b>	S167.0153	Method 17: Reducing waste and greenhouse gases	Support	Taranaki Whānui support the inclusion of this method. In particular we note and support the requirement to work in partnership with mana whenua.	Retain as notified.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
		emissions from waste streams		Taranaki Whānui would like to see clear statements on the resourcing/funding and capability building of mana whenua partners included in the description.	
<b>S168 Rangitāne O Wairarapa Inc</b>	S168.0147	Method 17: Reducing waste and greenhouse gases emissions from waste streams	Support in part	Food and soil sovereignty are key priorities for our people. Landfills have traditionally converted our whenua from fertile land to contaminated land and therefore we support methods to minimise unnecessary disposal to landfills. Rangitāne o Wairarapa support the provision for the waste management sector to work in partnership with mana whenua / tangata whenua, local authorities, industry and the wider community to address organic waste generation, collection and diversion from landfill and energy recovery from landfills. While nature-based solutions maybe implicit with organic waste (i.e. composting, anaerobic/aerobic digestion), we consider this preference for nature-based solutions should be explicitly expressed. It should also be made explicit that households should be encouraged to 'reduce at source' by minimising food waste and composting at home that waste which is unavoidable.	We seek that this method is extended to provide for kaupapa Māori approaches to reducing waste to be implemented, along with kaupapa Māori methods for monitoring the success of this method. Amend the method to specifically encourage reduction at source through waste minimisation and household composting; and more generally, a preference for nature-based solutions for dealing with collected and diverted (from landfill) organic waste. Add to the method text to support the use of Mātauranga Māori to design, manage and monitor waste reduction and management solutions, particularly where these involve municipal landfills.
<b>S34 Te Kaunihera o Te Awa Kairangi ki Uta, Upper Hutt City Council</b>	S34.080	Method 22: Integrated hazard risk management and climate change adaptation planning	Support in part	Supports consistency across the region but Council is concerned that a proposed non-regulatory method, appears to require a regulatory response.	Retain as operationally written and review once NPS-IB has been gazetted. If the method is retained, amend method to delete clause (b) to ensure that method can be fully achieved using non regulatory methods.
<b>S102 Te Tumu Paeroa   Office of the Māori Trustee</b>	S102.072	Method 22: Integrated hazard risk management and climate change adaptation planning	Support	Generally supports the methods to implement for the 'Natural Hazards' chapter.	Retain as notified.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
<b>S132 Toka Tu Ake EQC</b>	S132.012	Method 22: Integrated hazard risk management and climate change adaptation planning	Support in part	It is important that policies to increase resilience to natural hazards and the effects of climate change are consistently applied throughout the region. As such we recommend that the Regional Council provide guidance on identification of high risk areas and application of the policies in this RPS.	Re-instate the phrase: <b>"Prepare and disseminate information about how to identify areas at high risk from natural hazards, as relevant to the development of hazard management strategies to guide decision-making"</b>
<b>S144 Sustainable Wairarapa Inc</b>	S144.055	Method 22: Integrated hazard risk management and climate change adaptation planning	Support	identifying the new or increased hazards of climate change is very important.	Retain as notified.
<b>S166 Masterton District Council</b>	S166.067	Method 22: Integrated hazard risk management and climate change adaptation planning	Support	Disaster risk reduction/hazard risk management and climate change adaptation planning should already be occurring together. We are supportive of an integrated approach.	Retain as notified.
<b>S115 Hutt City Council</b>	S115.0101	Method 22: Integrated hazard risk management and climate change adaptation planning	Oppose	Oppose the inclusion of non-regulatory policies and methods that apply to territorial authorities	Amend Method 22 so that it does not apply to city and district councils.
<b>S131 Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust</b>	S131.0126	Method 22: Integrated hazard risk management and climate	Support	Ātiawa support Method 22.	Retain as notified.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
		change adaptation planning			
<b>S140 Wellington City Council (WCC)</b>	S140.0104	Method 22: Integrated hazard risk management and climate change adaptation planning	Support in part	For integrated management across the region, the integrated hazard risk management and climate change adaptation planning should be led by Regional Council.	Amend Method 22 so that it does not apply to city and district councils.
<b>S165 Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest &amp; Bird)</b>	S165.0107	Method 22: Integrated hazard risk management and climate change adaptation planning	Support		Retain
<b>S167 Taranaki Whānui</b>	S167.0154	Method 22: Integrated hazard risk management and climate change adaptation planning	Support in part	<p>Amended Method 22 is usefully updated. To ensure integrated hazard risk management and climate change adaptation planning in the Wellington region is successfully implemented will be based on the ability to partner with mana whenua / tangata whenua. Taranaki Whānui recommend stronger wording to reflect this.</p> <p>For example, new Method 32 provides for language around 'partnering' so this method could similarly reference that direction.</p> <p>[Note. the submitter would like to note that] Taranaki Whānui currently have applications (in progress) for coastal marine permit applications and have sought specific advice from GWRC officers. At the writing of this submission, there are no clear protections for mana whenua / tangata whenua holding coastal permits and where relevant triggers are located.</p>	Amend clause (c) to read: (c) <b>partner where practicable</b> assisting with mana whenua / tangata whenua in the development of iwi climate change adaptation plans.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
				Further advice has been sought from GWRC officers.	
<b>S168 Rangitāne O Wairarapa Inc</b>	S168.0189	Method 22: Integrated hazard risk management and climate change adaptation planning	Support	Rangitāne o Wairarapa support the integration of hazard risk management and climate change adaptation across the region.	Retain as notified
<b>S78 Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited</b>	S78.025	Method 30: Implement the harbour and catchment management strategy for Porirua Harbour	Not Stated / Neutral	Accepts that the amendment to operative Method 30 is required to give effect to the NPS-UD but neither supports nor opposes the provisions.	Retain as notified
<b>S102 Te Tumu Paeroa   Office of the Māori Trustee</b>	S102.085	Method 30: Implement the harbour and catchment management strategy for Porirua Harbour	Support	Generally supports the methods to implement for the 'Coastal Environment' chapter.	Retain as notified.
<b>S147 Wellington Fish and Game Council</b>	S147.094	Method 30: Implement the harbour and catchment management strategy for Porirua Harbour	Support	Necessary to implement the NPS-FM.	Retain as notified.



SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
<b>S131 Ātiawa ki Whakaron gotai Charitable Trust</b>	S131.0127	Method 30: Implement the harbour and catchment management strategy for Porirua Harbour	Support in part	Ātiawa recognise that the Porirua Harbour is not within Ātiawa ki Whakaron gotai rohe, the only comment from Ātiawa on this Method is that Regional Council should work in partnership with Porirua mana whenua to develop and implement the Porirua Harbour Catchment Strategy, if this is desired by mana whenua.	This partnership should be enabled by funding and resourcing through the Regional Council
<b>S78 Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited</b>	S78.026	Method 31: Protocol for management of earthworks and air quality between local authorities	Not Stated / Neutral	Accepts that the deletion of operative Method 31 is required to give effect to the NPS-UD but neither supports nor opposes this deletion.	Delete as notified
<b>S115 Hutt City Council</b>	S115.0102	Method 31: Protocol for management of earthworks and air quality between local authorities	Support	Support the deletion of this method	Delete Method 31 as proposed.
<b>S131 Ātiawa ki Whakaron gotai Charitable Trust</b>	S131.0128	Method 31: Protocol for management of earthworks and air quality between	Oppose	It is unclear the reasoning for the proposed deletion of Method 31. In particular Ātiawa are concerned that the deletion of the method will result in these effects not being addressed and properly managed.	Retain operative version of Method 31.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
		local authorities			
<b>S140 Wellington City Council (WCC)</b>	S140.0105	Method 31: Protocol for management of earthworks and air quality between local authorities	Support	Support as proposed.	Retain as notified.
<b>S167 Taranaki Whānui</b>	S167.0155	Method 31: Protocol for management of earthworks and air quality between local authorities	Support	Taranaki Whānui notes the deletion of Method 31 and new 'Amended Method 32' to reflect partnering with mana whenua / tangata whenua in the identification and protection of significant values.	Retain as notified.
<b>S30 Porirua City Council</b>	S30.095	Method 32: Partnering with mana whenua / tangata whenua, and engaging with stakeholders, landowners and the community in the identification and protection	Support in part	The method as drafted omits the step before managed. Add 'identify' for consistency with Policy 27 of the RPS.	Amend Method 32 (b) to include the 'identify' step for Special Amenity Landscapes as follows: (...) (b) identify and protect outstanding natural features and landscapes, <b>and identify</b> and manage the values of special amenity landscapes, including those with significant cultural values; (...)

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
		of significant values			
<b>S102 Te Tumu Paeroa   Office of the Māori Trustee</b>	S102.050	Method 32: Partnering with mana whenua / tangata whenua, and engaging with stakeholders, landowners and the community in the identification and protection of significant values	Support in part	Generally supports the methods to implement in the 'Freshwater' Chapter. However, Method 32 should be a regulatory method to ensure the protection of significant values.	Amend Policy 32 to a 'regulatory' method.
<b>S102 Te Tumu Paeroa   Office of the Māori Trustee</b>	S102.086	Method 32: Partnering with mana whenua / tangata whenua, and engaging with stakeholders, landowners and the community in the identification and protection	Support	Generally supports the methods to implement for the 'Coastal Environment' chapter.	Retain as notified.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
		of significant values			
<b>S123 Peter Thompson</b>	S123.011	Method 32: Partnering with mana whenua / tangata whenua, and engaging with stakeholders, landowners and the community in the identification and protection of significant values	Support	Partnering is very important in this space	Retain as notified.
<b>S128 Horticulture New Zealand</b>	S128.056	Method 32: Partnering with mana whenua / tangata whenua, and engaging with stakeholders, landowners and the community in the identification and protection	Support	Consider highly productive land to be of significant value. The NPSHPL 2022 will require a mapping exercise.	Add new subclause: <b>(f) identify areas of highly productive land</b>

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
		of significant values			
<b>S144 Sustainable Wairarapa Inc</b>	S144.016	Method 32: Partnering with mana whenua / tangata whenua, and engaging with stakeholders, landowners and the community in the identification and protection of significant values	Support	Partnering is very important in this space	Retain as notified.
<b>S147 Wellington Fish and Game Council</b>	S147.024	Method 32: Partnering with mana whenua / tangata whenua, and engaging with stakeholders, landowners and the community in the identification and protection	Support in part	Supports the special role of mana whenua as Treaty partners and kaitiaki. At the same time, GWRC has a responsibility to work alongside recognised stakeholders - such as WFGC as the statutory manager of freshwater species and habitats. The amendments to the title and chapeau of Method 32 reflect this responsibility.	Amend policy title and text: Policy 32: Partnering with mana whenua/tangata whenua and <del>engaging with</del> stakeholders, and <b>engaging with</b> landowners and the community in the identification and protection of significant values. Partner with iwi, hapū, marae and/or whānau, and <del>engage with</del> stakeholders, <b>and engage with</b> landowners and the community to:

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
		of significant values			
<b>S147 Wellington Fish and Game Council</b>	S147.025	Method 32: Partnering with mana whenua / tangata whenua, and engaging with stakeholders, landowners and the community in the identification and protection of significant values	Support in part	The suggested amendments to paragraph (c) and the suggested new paragraph (f) give effect to Policies 9 and 10 of the NPS-FM, which are not properly implemented in Proposed Change 1 as drafted.	Amend subclause: (c) identification and protection of indigenous ecosystems and habitats with significant biodiversity <b>or other</b> values, including those of significance to mana whenua / tangata whenua;
<b>S147 Wellington Fish and Game Council</b>	S147.026	Method 32: Partnering with mana whenua / tangata whenua, and engaging with stakeholders, landowners and the community in the identification and protection	Support in part	The suggested amendments to paragraph (c) and the suggested new paragraph (f) give effect to Policies 9 and 10 of the NPS-FM, which are not properly implemented in Proposed Change 1 as drafted.	New subclause: <b>(f) identifying and protecting the habitats of indigenous freshwater species, trout and salmon</b>

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
		of significant values			
<b>S163 Wairarapa Federated Farmers</b>	S163.093	Method 32: Partnering with mana whenua / tangata whenua, and engaging with stakeholders, landowners and the community in the identification and protection of significant values	Oppose	Defer to the 2024 RPS review	That the amendments to Method 32 be deleted.  Delete the FW icon
<b>S168 Rangitāne O Wairarapa Inc</b>	S168.061	Method 32: Partnering with mana whenua / tangata whenua, and engaging with stakeholders, landowners and the community in the identification and protection	Support	Rangitāne o Wairarapa support this method.	Retain as notified.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
		of significant values			
<b>S168 Rangitāne O Wairarapa Inc</b>	S168.099	Method 32: Partnering with mana whenua / tangata whenua, and engaging with stakeholders, landowners and the community in the identification and protection of significant values	Support	Rangitāne o Wairarapa support this method, particularly the partnership directive.	Retain as notified.
<b>S170 Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira</b>	S170.072	Method 32: Partnering with mana whenua / tangata whenua, and engaging with stakeholders, landowners and the community in the identification and protection	Support in part	The content covered in the Method 32 is supported, however it is not clear the intention of the drafting in some places, such as, 'engaging with stakeholders, landowners and community'. This method could emphasize 'co-design of actions, policies and implementation' -it is not an exercise just regarding sites of significance to iwi and Māori.	Retain as notified.



SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
		of significant values			
<b>S115 Hutt City Council</b>	S115.0103	Method 32: Partnering with mana whenua / tangata whenua, and engaging with stakeholders, landowners and the community in the identification and protection of significant values	Oppose in part	Oppose the inclusion of non-regulatory policies and methods that apply to territorial authorities.	Amend Method 32 so that it does not apply to city and district councils.
<b>S131 Ātiawa ki Whakaron gotai Charitable Trust</b>	S131.0129	Method 32: Partnering with mana whenua / tangata whenua, and engaging with stakeholders, landowners and the community in the identification and protection	Support in part	While Ātiawa support the intent of Method 31, Ātiawa position is that partnering with mana whenua for the purposes of identifying and protecting significant values should be provided for separately to the stakeholders, landowners and the general public and community. Te Tiriti provides for the relationship and partnership between the crown, including local government and mana whenua. In order to uphold Te Tiriti, Regional Council must actively work in partnership with mana whenua to protect and provide for the relationship of mana whenua, their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, wāhi tapu and other taonga (as provided for as a matter of national importance	Method 32: Partnering with mana whenua / tangata whenua, <del>and engaging with stakeholders, landowners and the community</del> in the identification and protection of significant values Partner with iwi, hapū, marae and/or whānau, <del>and engage with stakeholders, landowners and the community in the to:</del>

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
		of significant values		<p>in Part 2 of the RMA).                      It is not appropriate that stakeholders, landowners (unless Māori landowners) and the community identify places, sites and areas with significant cultural heritage values, or outstanding natural features and landscapes with significant cultural values, or identify indigenous ecosystems and habitats with significance to mana whenua, or mana whenua values associated with rivers and lakes. It is only mana whenua who can identify these sets of values.                      Ātiawa recognise that stakeholders, landowners and the community also have their own values associated with te taiao, and the collective action is required to protect and enhance te taiao.</p>	
<p><b>S140</b>  <b>Wellington City Council (WCC)</b></p>	S140.0106	Method 32: Partnering with mana whenua / tangata whenua, and engaging with stakeholders, landowners and the community in the identification and protection of significant values	Support	Support as proposed.	Retain as notified.
<p><b>S165</b>  <b>Royal</b></p>	S165.0108	Method 32: Partnering	Support		Retain

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
<b>Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest &amp; Bird)</b>		with mana whenua / tangata whenua, and engaging with stakeholders, landowners and the community in the identification and protection of significant values			
<b>S167 Taranaki Whānui</b>	S167.0156	Method 32: Partnering with mana whenua / tangata whenua, and engaging with stakeholders, landowners and the community in the identification and protection of significant values	Support	<p>Taranaki Whānui support the inclusion of this method and in particular note the requirement to partner with mana whenua.</p> <p>Taranaki Whānui want to indicate our intention to partner with council in these processes. We are keen to see assurances regarding the resourcing/funding and capability building of mana whenua in this work.</p>	Retain as notified.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
<b>S115 Hutt City Council</b>	S115.0104	Method 33: Identify sustainable energy programmes	Support	No reasons given	Retain as notified
<b>S131 Ātiawa ki Whakaron gotai Charitable Trust</b>	S131.0130	Method 33: Identify sustainable energy programmes	Oppose	It is not clear why the Regional Council have proposed to delete Method 33, Ātiawa is concerned that pressure on energy supply and distribution will continue to increase. Ātiawa question how this sustainable energy use will be provided for and implemented without Method 33.	Retain operative version of Method 33.
<b>S140 Wellington City Council (WCC)</b>	S140.0107	Method 33: Identify sustainable energy programmes	Support	Support as proposed.	Retain as notified.
<b>S167 Taranaki Whānui</b>	S167.0157	Method 33: Identify sustainable energy programmes	Support	Deleted method	Retain as notified.
<b>S168 Rangitāne O Wairarapa Inc</b>	S168.0141	Method 33: Identify sustainable energy programmes	Support	Rangitāne o Wairarapa strongly support objectives and policies that promote reducing non-renewable fuel consumption, and transport-related GHG and pollutant emissions, and uptake of low emissions or zero-carbon fuel.	Retain as notified.
<b>S34 Te Kaunihera o Te Awa Kairangi ki Uta, Upper Hutt City Council</b>	S34.038	Method 34: Prepare a regional water supply strategy	Support in part	This method is supported in principle, but it is unclear which mechanism territorial authorities would use to give effect to the measures identified in this method, and how this will work within the context of three waters reform.	Review and amend method to address these issues and ensure that responsibilities can feasibly be supported under the RMA. Amend g) to read "developing methods to protect future and existing <b>public potable water supply</b> sources"
<b>S86 Irrigation New Zealand</b>	S86.006	Method 34: Prepare a regional water	Support in part	The importance of a regional water strategy and its direct relationship to the quality and quantity of freshwater has been underestimated. There needs to be a greater emphasis on the importance of water	Amend Method 34 to place a greater emphasis on the importance of water storage as part of a resilient regional water supply strategy.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
(Irrigation NZ)		supply strategy		storage as part of future resilience. Under Method 34, biodiversity and cultural factors are the only considerations, with no mention of wider factors, such as primary industry. The aims of a regional water supply plan should take direction from the Wairarapa Water Resilience Strategy (WWRS).	
<b>S113 Wellington Water</b>	S113.046	Method 34: Prepare a regional water supply strategy	Support in part	Wellington Water supports the concept of a regional water supply strategy, with changes. e.g. Taumata Arowai are also regulating in this space.	Amend Method 34 to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Align with Taumata Arowai guidance.</li> <li>• Refer to 'use of water', rather than 'allocation', in clause (a).</li> <li>• Update the method to reflect the potential for water reform.</li> <li>• Address whether (and/or how) the strategy will still be relevant if water reform occurs.</li> <li>• Reflect public health concerns regarding alternate water supplies in urban areas.</li> <li>• Address water scarcity, operational resilience, growth and Te Mana o te Wai as part of clause (d).</li> <li>• Give effect to water safety plans and other requirements of Taumata Arowai as appropriate.</li> <li>• Apply ki uta ki tai to source protection.</li> </ul>
<b>S128 Horticulture New Zealand</b>	S128.057	Method 34: Prepare a regional water supply strategy	Support in part	Support the development of a regional water supply strategy, this could cover both urban and rural communities, a minor amendment is sought clarify that.	Amend as follows:(d) secure sustainable water supplies for <b>urban and rural</b> communities across the region, preparing for climate change;
<b>S144 Sustainable Wairarapa Inc</b>	S144.050	Method 34: Prepare a regional water supply strategy	Support	The vast majority of the public water supplies are sourced from the ranges. It is important to shield this source from natural hazards. This will require management to ensure the fabric of the ranges is maintained. Also that understanding where possible new sources may be tapped will require substantial investigation. At present there is no specific work to protect the water sources for Wairarapa towns in the Tararua Ranges within the DoC estate. DoC, iwi, GWRC and district councils should develop a working arrangement to ensure these water sources receive best practice protection.	Retain as notified.
<b>S147 Wellington Fish and</b>	S147.095	Method 34: Prepare a regional	Support	Necessary to implement the NPS-FM.	Retain as notified.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
<b>Game Council</b>		water supply strategy			
<b>S163 Wairarapa Federated Farmers</b>	S163.094	Method 34: Prepare a regional water supply strategy	Oppose	<p>Defer to the 2024 RPS review</p> <p>We note that the Three Waters Review may alter the landscape on this matter.</p> <p>We question why the method is restricted to urban supplies, in particular clause d.</p> <p>Our proposed over-arching Objective B is intended to provide a concrete pathway towards a similar result.</p>	That the amendments to Method 32 be deleted.
<b>S166 Masterton District Council</b>	S166.068	Method 34: Prepare a regional water supply strategy	Not Stated / Neutral	Further clarity is required with regard to implementation and transitional arrangements for Entity C (three waters)	Clarifications.
<b>S168 Rangitāne O Wairarapa Inc</b>	S168.062	Method 34: Prepare a regional water supply strategy	Support in part	Rangitāne o Wairarapa support this method.	Reword clause f and g so that they fit grammatically with the opening clause.
<b>S170 Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira</b>	S170.073	Method 34: Prepare a regional water supply strategy	Not Stated / Neutral	No mention of iwi and tangata whenua in these methods and how they impact iwi, hāpu and Māori.	Clarify how these methods will impact iwi, hāpu and Māori.
<b>S115 Hutt City Council</b>	S115.0105	Method 34: Prepare a regional water supply strategy	Oppose in part	Oppose the inclusion of non-regulatory policies and methods that apply to territorial authorities.	Amend Method 34 so that it does not apply to city and district councils.
<b>S131 Ātiawa ki Whakaron gotai</b>	S131.0131	Method 34: Prepare a regional water	Support	Ātiawa supports Method 34.	Retain as notified.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
<b>Charitable Trust</b>		supply strategy			
<b>S140 Wellington City Council (WCC)</b>	S140.0108	Method 34: Prepare a regional water supply strategy	Support in part	Water allocation and efficiency is Regional Council responsibility.	Amend Method 34 so that it does not apply to city and district councils.
<b>S165 Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest &amp; Bird)</b>	S165.0109	Method 34: Prepare a regional water supply strategy	Support in part	The development of the strategy should provide for engagement with stakeholders and the community.	Amend the provision as follows: Add "and engaging with stakeholders and the community" after the words "tangata whenua".
<b>S167 Taranaki Whānui</b>	S167.0158	Method 34: Prepare a regional water supply strategy	Support	Taranaki Whānui support the inclusion of this method and in particular note the requirement to partner with mana whenua. Taranaki Whānui want to indicate our intention to partner with council in the development of this strategy.	Retain as notified.
<b>S78 Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited</b>	S78.027	Method 35: Prepare a regional stormwater action plan	Not Stated / Neutral	Accepts that the deletion of operative Method 35 is required to give effect to the NPS-UD but neither supports nor opposes the deletion.	Delete as notified
<b>S115 Hutt City Council</b>	S115.0106	Method 35: Prepare a regional stormwater action plan	Support	No reasons given	Retain as notified
<b>S131 Ātiawa ki Whakaron gotai Charitable Trust</b>	S131.0132	Method 35: Prepare a regional stormwater action plan	Oppose	It is not clear why the Regional Council have proposed to delete Method 35. Ātiawa are concerned that if Method 35 is deleted from the regional policy statement there will be no mechanism to prepare a regional approach to stormwater management. Ātiawa position is that	Retain operative version of Method 35.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
				stormwater management in regards to water quality forms a part of Regional Councils functions under section 30 (f) of the RMA.	
<b>S140 Wellington City Council (WCC)</b>	S140.0109	Method 35: Prepare a regional stormwater action plan	Support	Support as proposed.	Retain as notified.
<b>S167 Taranaki Whānui</b>	S167.0159	Method 35: Prepare a regional stormwater action plan	Not Stated / Neutral		Deleted method
<b>S78 Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited</b>	S78.028	Method 40: Sign the New Zealand Urban Design Protocol	Not Stated / Neutral	Accepts that the deletion of operative Method 40 is required to give effect to the NPS-UD but neither supports nor opposes the deletion.	Delete as notified
<b>S115 Hutt City Council</b>	S115.0107	Method 40: Sign the New Zealand Urban Design Protocol	Support	No reasons given	Retain as notified
<b>S140 Wellington City Council (WCC)</b>	S140.0110	Method 40: Sign the New Zealand Urban Design Protocol	Support	Support as proposed.	Retain as notified.
<b>S167 Taranaki Whānui</b>	S167.0160	Method 40: Sign the New Zealand Urban Design Protocol	Support	Deleted method	Retain as notified.



SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
<b>S78 Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited</b>	S78.029	Method 41: Integrate public open space	Not Stated / Neutral	Accepts that the deletion of operative Method 41 is required to give effect to the NPS-UD but neither supports nor opposes the deletion.	Delete as notified
<b>S167 Taranaki Whānui</b>	S167.0161	Method 41: Integrate public open space	Support	Deleted method	Retain as notified.
<b>S78 Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited</b>	S78.030	Method 42: Develop visions for the regionally significant centres	Not Stated / Neutral	Accepts that the deletion of operative Method 42 is required to give effect to the NPS-UD but neither supports nor opposes the deletion.	Delete as notified
<b>S167 Taranaki Whānui</b>	S167.0162	Method 42: Develop visions for the regionally significant centres	Support	Deleted method	Retain as notified.
<b>S78 Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited</b>	S78.031	Method 43: Develop principles for retail activities	Not Stated / Neutral	Accepts that the deletion of operative Method 43 is required to give effect to the NPS-UD but neither supports nor opposes the deletion.	Delete as notified
<b>S167 Taranaki Whānui</b>	S167.0163	Method 43: Develop principles for retail activities	Support	Deleted method	Retain as notified.
<b>S78 Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited</b>	S78.032	Method 44: Analysis of industrial employment locations	Not Stated / Neutral	Accepts that the deletion of operative Method 44 is required to give effect to the NPS-UD but neither supports nor opposes the deletion.	Delete as notified
<b>S167 Taranaki Whānui</b>	S167.0164	Method 44: Analysis of industrial	Support	Deleted method	Retain as notified.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
		employment locations			
<b>S78 Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited</b>	S78.033	Method 45: Develop principles for rural-residential use and development	Not Stated / Neutral	Accepts that the deletion of operative Method 45 is required to give effect to the NPS-UD but neither supports nor opposes the deletion.	Delete as notified
<b>S167 Taranaki Whānui</b>	S167.0165	Method 45: Develop principles for rural-residential use and development	Support	Deleted method	Retain as notified.
<b>S78 Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited</b>	S78.034	Method 46: Develop complex development opportunities	Not Stated / Neutral	Accept that the amendments to operative Method 46 are required to give effect to the NPS-UD but neither supports nor opposes the provisions.	Retain as notified
<b>S129 Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency</b>	S129.042	Method 46: Develop complex development opportunities	Support	Supports this method and our continued involvement through our participation in the Wellington Regional Leadership Committee.	Retain as notified.
<b>S147 Wellington Fish and Game Council</b>	S147.096	Method 46: Develop complex development opportunities	Support	Necessary to implement the NPS-FM.	Retain as notified.
<b>S115 Hutt City Council</b>	S115.0108	Method 46: Develop complex	Oppose	The term "complex development opportunity" is not adequately defined (see our comment on the definition) and the method is not adequately	Delete Method 46. If the method is retained, amend Method 46 so that it does not apply to city and district councils and remove reference to the

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
		development opportunities		supported by higher order objectives and policies.  We also oppose the inclusion of non-regulatory policies and methods that apply to territorial authorities.  It is also inappropriate for a method to direct action through the Wellington Regional Leadership Committee which is not a statutory body with responsibilities under the resource management system.	Wellington Regional Leadership Committee.
<b>S131 Ātiawa ki Whakaron gotai Charitable Trust</b>	S131.0133	Method 46: Develop complex development opportunities	Support in part	Ātiawa seek to ensure that Regional Council and central government partner with mana whenua in the development and implementation of plans and frameworks for each Complex Development Opportunity.	<del>Jointly</del> <b>In partnership with mana whenua and central government agencies</b> , develop and implement plans and a framework for each Complex Development Opportunity <del>with central government agencies</del> . <b>The Regional Council shall enable this partnership with mana whenua through adequate funding and resourcing.</b>
<b>S140 Wellington City Council (WCC)</b>	S140.0111	Method 46: Develop complex development opportunities	Support	Support as proposed	Retain as notified.
<b>S165 Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest &amp; Bird)</b>	S165.0110	Method 46: Develop complex development opportunities	Support in part		Add the words "and engaging with stakeholders and the community" after the words "partner approach"
<b>S168 Rangitāne O</b>	S168.0190	Method 46: Develop complex	Support in part	The method refers to joint development of implementation plans and frameworks for each Complex Development Opportunity with central	Include reference to tangata whenua/mana whenua as being included in the development of any joint framework or

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
Wairarapa Inc		development opportunities		government agencies only. Rangitāne o Wairarapa consider that tangata whenua interests should also be represented as part of this process, as Te Tiriti partners.	implementation plans.
S78 Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited	S78.035	Method 47: Analysis of the range and affordability of housing in the region	Not Stated / Neutral	Accepts that the deletion of operative Method 47 is required to give effect to the NPS-UD but neither supports nor opposes the deletion.	Delete as notified
S131 Ātiawa ki Whakaron gotai Charitable Trust	S131.0134	Method 47: Analysis of the range and affordability of housing in the region	Oppose	Ātiawa oppose deletion of Method 47, a regional analysis of housing, including range and affordability is still necessary, and essential to understanding a well-functioning urban environment. It is not clear why Regional Council no longer view Method 47 as a mechanism to provide for improving housing range and options, particularly in the interim while a Future Development Strategy is yet to be released.	Insert amended Method 47: <b>In partnership with mana whenua</b> complete a regional analysis of housing, including range and affordability, and explore with private sector developers innovative housing design and/or developments that increase the range of types and affordability in the region.
S167 Taranaki Whānui	S167.0166	Method 47: Analysis of the range and affordability of housing in the region	Support	Deleted method	Retain as notified.
S78 Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited	S78.036	Method UD.2: Future Development Strategy	Not Stated / Neutral	Accepts that Proposed Method UD.2 is required to give effect to the NPS-UD but neither supports nor opposes the provision.	Retain as notified
S129 Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	S129.009	Method UD.2: Future Development Strategy	Support	Supports the preparation of a Future Development Strategy for the Wellington Region in accordance with the NPS-UD to enable appropriate growth and timely development of infrastructure.	Retain as notified.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
<b>S137 Greater Wellington Regional Council (GWRC)</b>	S137.056	Method UD.2: Future Development Strategy	Support in part	Amend to explicitly require consideration of the impacts of climate change in the preparation of the Future Development Strategy, to implement Objective CC.1 and Policy 55.	Amend Method UD.2 as below: ... The Future Development Strategy will provide a framework for achieving Well-Functioning Urban Environments in the Wellington Region, including specifying how and where future growth will occur to provide for sufficient capacity to meet future growth needs over the next 30 years, <b>and considering the impacts of climate change.</b>
<b>S144 Sustainable Wairarapa Inc</b>	S144.034	Method UD.2: Future Development Strategy	Support	Important to have a cohesive strategy, eg for climate change mitigation and adaptation, esp to natural hazards	Retain as notified.
<b>S166 Masterton District Council</b>	S166.081	Method UD.2: Future Development Strategy	Support in part	Further clarity sought on some aspects e.g. Policy FW.1	Retain as notified. However: Further clarity is required.
<b>S170 Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira</b>	S170.075	Method UD.2: Future Development Strategy	Not Stated / Neutral	No mention of iwi and tangata whenua in these methods and how they impact iwi, hāpu and Māori.	Clarify how these methods will impact iwi, hāpu and Māori.
<b>S115 Hutt City Council</b>	S115.0109	Method UD.2: Future Development Strategy	Oppose	This method is redundant as it is already a requirement of the National Policy Statement on Urban Development.	Delete Method UD.2
<b>S131 Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust</b>	S131.0135	Method UD.2: Future Development Strategy	Support in part	Ātiawa note the national direction to prepare a Future Development Strategy, however Ātiawa seek reference to hapū and iwi values and aspirations for urban development within Method UD.2 in accordance with the National Policy for Urban Development, subpart 4. The current drafting of Method UD.2 is silent on the role of mana whenua in the development of FDS, this is contrary to the NPS-UD text. Ātiawa have not finalised our Treaty of Waitangi	Prepare a Future Development Strategy for the Wellington Region in accordance with Subpart 4 of the National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020. The Future Development Strategy will set out the high-level vision for accommodating urban growth over the long term, and identifies strategic priorities to inform other development-related decisions, such as: (a) district plan zoning and related plan changes; (b) priority outcomes in long-term plans and infrastructure strategies, including decisions on funding and financing; and (c) priorities and decisions in regional land transport plans. The Future Development Strategy will provide a framework for

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
				Settlement with the Crown. This process and the intensification provisions also prejudice the outcomes and potential outcomes of our Treaty of Waitangi Settlement. The development of the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act 2021 and the National Policy Statement on Urban Development 2020, and the timeframes provided for their incorporation into the RPS do not uphold the guarantee of tino rangatiratanga. Ātiawa therefore have fundamental concerns with the process and direction given by the Government requiring this Proposed Plan Change and the proposed Future Development Strategy	achieving well-functioning urban environments in the Wellington Region, including specifying how and where future growth will occur to provide for sufficient capacity to meet future growth needs over the next 30 years. <b>The Future Development Strategy must include a clear statement of mana whenua values and aspirations for urban development. The Future Development Strategy shall be prepared in partnership with relevant mana whenua.</b> Additionally, Ātiawa seek that: Provision is made for Ātiawa tino rangatiratanga within the Future Development Strategy process and for a review of the Strategy on the Ātiawa Settlement with the Crown.
<b>S167 Taranaki Whānui</b>	S167.0167	Method UD.2: Future Development Strategy	Support in part	Taranaki Whānui to partner in the development of the FDS.	Retain as notified.
<b>S168 Rangitāne O Wairarapa Inc</b>	S168.0184	Method UD.2: Future Development Strategy	Support	Rangitāne o Wairarapa notes that the NPS-UD requires development of the FDS to be informed by (inter alia) "Māori, and in particular tangata whenua, values and aspirations for urban development.	Retain as notified
<b>S79 South Wairarapa District Council</b>	S79.050	Method CC.4: Prepare a regional forest spatial plan	Support	This method is a critical part of ensuring that the rural areas of Wairarapa do not become a carbon sink for the rest of the region.	Retain as notified
<b>S102 Te Tumu Paeroa   Office of the Māori Trustee</b>	S102.033	Method CC.4: Prepare a regional forest spatial plan	Support	Generally supports the methods to implement for the 'Climate Change' chapter.	Retain as notified.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
<b>S137 Greater Wellington Regional Council (GWRC)</b>	S137.061	Method CC.4: Prepare a regional forest spatial plan	Support in part	The provisions aim to promote and support the planting or regeneration of, preferentially, permanent and indigenous trees on highly erodible land, and particularly in catchments that have issues with a large amount of sediment ending up in waterbodies. Increasing indigenous permanent forestry cover in these areas will have multiple benefits, for improving water quality, increasing biodiversity, and providing more forested areas that absorb carbon dioxide. To be clear, the intent of these provisions is not to support unfettered afforestation across the region with the sole purpose of providing a carbon sink. Amendments are required to make the intent clear.	Review and, where necessary, amend the wording of these provisions to ensure that their intent is clear, which is to support an increase in forest extent in the Wellington Region that meets the principles of "right tree right place", providing optimal outcomes for water quality, indigenous biodiversity, and carbon sequestration.
<b>S144 Sustainable Wairarapa Inc</b>	S144.012	Method CC.4: Prepare a regional forest spatial plan	Support in part	There could be a timeframe on this method eg 2025	Add a timeframe of 2025
<b>S147 Wellington Fish and Game Council</b>	S147.097	Method CC.4: Prepare a regional forest spatial plan	Support	Necessary to implement the NPS-FM.	Retain as notified.
<b>S163 Wairarapa Federated Farmers</b>	S163.095	Method CC.4: Prepare a regional forest spatial plan	Oppose	Support for the intent, however the proposed overarching Objective A and B are intended to provide a concrete pathway towards a similar result.	That Method CC.4 be deleted.  Delete the FW icon
<b>S166 Masterton District Council</b>	S166.074	Method CC.4: Prepare a regional forest spatial plan	Support in part	MDC requests to be one of the organisations involved with preparing this plan. Plan needed to ensure that the Wairarapa isn't used as the greater region's carbon sink.	Amend the Implementation section to read: Implementation: Wellington Regional Council* and city and district councils <b>(GWRC will co-lead with each city and district council with regard to their respective geographical areas)</b>

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
<b>S115 Hutt City Council</b>	S115.0110	Method CC.4: Prepare a regional forest spatial plan	Oppose in part	Oppose the inclusion of non-regulatory policies and methods that apply to territorial authorities.	Amend Method CC.4 so that it does not apply to city and district councils.
<b>S131 Ātiawa ki Whakaron gotai Charitable Trust</b>	S131.0136	Method CC.4: Prepare a regional forest spatial plan	Support	Ātiawa support Method CC.4, Ātiawa seek to partner with Regional Council on Method CC.4 - we seek specific reference to this partnership in the method.	Using a partnership approach, <b>work with mana whenua</b> to identify where to promote and support planting and natural regeneration of forest, including how to address water quality targets for sediment, to inform the requirements of Policy CC.6.
<b>S140 Wellington City Council (WCC)</b>	S140.0112	Method CC.4: Prepare a regional forest spatial plan	Support in part	Clarify intention of method, if it is to reduce sediment loading in waterbodies then this should be actioned by Regional Councils.	Amend Method CC.4 so that it does not apply to City and District Councils.
<b>S165 Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest &amp; Bird)</b>	S165.0111	Method CC.4: Prepare a regional forest spatial plan	Support in part	Support the intent to increase permanent forest, but submit that this should be extended to include other indigenous vegetation (either in this method or in a separate method). Also, while the method is titled 'Prepare a regional forest plan', that is not specifically required by the method itself.	Amend as follows: Amend to make it clear that a regional forest spatial plan will be the outcome of this method. Using a partnership approach, <b>create a regional forest and vegetation spatial plan, which will</b> identify where to promote and support planting and natural regeneration of forest, <b>wetlands and other indigenous vegetation</b> , including how to address water quality targets for sediment, to inform the requirements of Policy CC.6.
<b>S167 Taranaki Whānui</b>	S167.0168	Method CC.4: Prepare a regional forest spatial plan	Support in part	Given historical land confiscations and development barriers - there needs to be a specific protection in place to prevent further disadvantage to mana whenua.  Future planning in partnership with mana whenua will provide greater confidence of the implementation through regional plans.	Retain as notified.



SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
				<p>Taranaki Whānui support the principle of this method. As per our comments on Policy CC.6, we would like to see firm protections in place for mana whenua. The 'partnership approach' needs to clearly state it is with mana whenua, who need to be resourced for this.</p> <p>Taranaki Whānui want to indicate their intention to work in partnership with councils to prepare these spatial plans.</p>	
<b>S168 Rangitāne O Wairarapa Inc</b>	S168.0151	Method CC.4: Prepare a regional forest spatial plan	Support in part	<p>Rangitāne o Wairarapa support Method CC.4 in part, to prepare a regional forest spatial plan using a partnership approach. However, we request that a timeframe of 2024 is specified to have the regional forest spatial plan in place, to be consistent with the timing of the climate extension programme directed in Method CC.8.</p> <p>In addition, the method should include specific provision to monitor the effectiveness and efficiency of implementing the plan.</p>	<p>Amend the method to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Specify a timeframe of 2024 to have the regional forest spatial plan in place;</li> <li>Make specific reference to partnering with mana whenua/tangata whenua to prepare the regional forest spatial plan;</li> <li>Include a specific provision to monitor the effectiveness and efficiency of implementing the plans.</li> </ul>
<b>S102 Te Tumu Paeroa   Office of the Māori Trustee</b>	S102.034	Method CC.5: Review regional response to reducing agricultural greenhouse gas emissions	Support	<p>Generally supports the methods to implement for the 'Climate Change' chapter.</p>	Retain as notified.
<b>S128 Horticulture New Zealand</b>	S128.058	Method CC.5: Review regional response to reducing agricultural greenhouse gas emissions	Support	<p>Agree it is appropriate to review the approach to reducing agricultural greenhouse gas emissions, to align with national direction as there has been significant work undertaken through partnerships such as He Waka Eke Noa.</p>	Retain as notified.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
<b>S136 DairyNZ</b>	S136.020	Method CC.5: Review regional response to reducing agricultural greenhouse gas emissions	Oppose	Opposes Method CC.5 outright for inclusion through PC1. There are already existing efforts underway to reduce agricultural greenhouse gas emissions. Deferral of this consideration until the full RPS review will enable alignment with the Primary Sector Climate Action Partnership between Government, the Primary Sector, and iwi/Māori - He Waka Eke Noa (HWEN). Through the full RPS review process will be able to leverage its existing rural networks, databases and environmental expertise that support change and improved management practices at a farm level to achieve the ambitious approach to climate change mitigation.	Delete Method CC.5 and address the issue through a full review of the RPS.
<b>S163 Wairarapa Federated Farmers</b>	S163.096	Method CC.5: Review regional response to reducing agricultural greenhouse gas emissions	Oppose	Defer to the 2024 RPS review  Concern that this method is restricted to just one sector - not all sectors.	That Method CC.5 be deleted.
<b>S166 Masterton District Council</b>	S166.075	Method CC.5: Review regional response to reducing agricultural greenhouse gas emissions	Not Stated / Neutral		MDC requests to be part of the design for this.
<b>S168 Rangitāne O Wairarapa Inc</b>	S168.020	Method CC.5: Review regional response to reducing agricultural greenhouse	Not Stated / Neutral	Rangitāne o Wairarapa are concerned at the urgency at which greenhouse gas emission reductions must be achieved. We seek that the commitment in the section 32 report and Method CC.5 to review the policy package of provisions in the Plan Change which address climate change and agriculture by 31 December 2024 is upheld, in order to provide for the strongest direction possible to	We therefore seek that tangata whenua are co-governing, co-managing and co-designing solutions for our future with our communities.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
		e gas emissions		reduce agricultural emissions. 'Hold the line' is an inadequate response to address the climate emergency. We also remind you that indigenous solutions are key and have proven to be massive contributors to reducing and minimising climate change.	
<b>S170 Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira</b>	S170.071	Method CC.5: Review regional response to reducing agricultural greenhouse gas emissions	Not Stated / Neutral	Under the central government direction, how can Regional Councils achieve emission reductions from agriculture? Is this method, just limited to reviewing the regional response, which means reviewing land use emissions impact? It is not clear.	Clarify how this will achieve emissions reductions from agriculture.
<b>S131 Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust</b>	S131.0167	Method CC.5: Review regional response to reducing agricultural greenhouse gas emissions	Support	Ātiawa support Method CC.5, particularly given the high emissions produced from the agricultural industry.	Retain as notified.
<b>S165 Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest &amp; Bird)</b>	S165.0112	Method CC.5: Review regional response to reducing agricultural greenhouse gas emissions	Support in part	This approach should not be limited to agriculture. This method should therefore be amended.	Amend as follows: Monitor changes in <del>agricultural</del> land use and land management practices and review the regional policy approach by 31 December 2024, responding to any predicted changes in greenhouse gas emissions <del>from the agricultural sector</del> in the Wellington Region and any new national policy direction.
<b>S167 Taranaki Whānui</b>	S167.0169	Method CC.5: Review regional response	Support	Taranaki Whānui are aware that central government is taking the lead on the policy approach.  Taranaki Whānui support the need for monitoring and review - and reducing the damage done by	Retain as notified.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
		to reducing agricultural greenhouse gas emissions		agriculture.  Taranaki Whānui want to signal our support for stronger direction on agricultural emission reduction.	
<b>S168 Rangitāne O Wairarapa Inc</b>	S168.0120	Method CC.5: Review regional response to reducing agricultural greenhouse gas emissions	Support in part	Support the commitment in Method CC.5 but seek this is strengthened to make reference to notifying a plan change, if the review finds that changes to the provisions in the RPS and Regional Plan are required. support the commitment in the s32 Report (i.e. Method CC.5): "The proposed package includes provisions to review the regional policy approach by 31 December 2024 (the date for notification of a full RPS review) to respond to any predicted changes in greenhouse gas emissions from the agricultural sector in the region and any new national direction" (pg 135).	Amend Method CC.5 to state that a plan change to the RPS and Regional Plan will be notified where changes are required to the provisions. Retain method CC.5 to review this provision and subsequently to notify a plan change if necessary, by 31 December 2024.
<b>S168 Rangitāne O Wairarapa Inc</b>	S168.0129	Method CC.5: Review regional response to reducing agricultural greenhouse gas emissions	Support	We support the commitment in the s32 Report (i.e. Method CC.5): "The proposed package includes provisions to review the regional policy approach by 31 December 2024 (the date for notification of a full RPS review) to respond to any predicted changes in greenhouse gas emissions from the agricultural sector in the region and any new national direction" (pg 135).	Rangitāne o Wairarapa seek that the commitment in the Section 32 Report and in Method CC.5 to review this provision and subsequently to notify a plan change if necessary, by 31 December 2024, is upheld.
<b>S34 Te Kaunihera o Te Awa Kairangi ki Uta, Upper Hutt City Council</b>	S34.044	Method CC.6: Identifying nature-based solutions for climate change	Oppose in part	Whilst this is identified as a GWRC action it is unclear whether and how territorial authorities will be involved in this important work.  It is also unclear whether there is an expectation that this will also require a regulatory response by territorial authorities, given that the RPSPC1 proposes that district plans identify and provide for nature-based solutions and seeks to include provisions in district plans relating to indigenous ecosystems.  See our notes on Objective CC.4 for comments regarding clarity of the definition of nature-based solutions.	Amend to clarify role for territorial authorities. See also related comments on Policy CC.7 and Objective CC.4.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
<b>S102 Te Tumu Paeroa   Office of the Māori Trustee</b>	S102.035	Method CC.6: Identifying nature-based solutions for climate change	Support	Generally supports the methods to implement for the 'Climate Change' chapter.	Retain as notified.
<b>S147 Wellington Fish and Game Council</b>	S147.098	Method CC.6: Identifying nature-based solutions for climate change	Support in part	The suggested amendment follows from the suggested amendment to Objective 16, above, and is intended to give better effect to the NPS-FM (including Policy 10). While the protections of indigenous ecosystems and habitats is vital, so too is the maintaining and enhancing of the whole environment, including those containing valued introduced species. An unduly narrow indigenous - centric focus could lead to lessening or removal of protections for non-indigenous dominant systems, habitats, and species. The loss of protections, enhancements, and restorations risks adverse environmental effects and weakened climate change resilience for the region.	Amend. Provide resilience for indigenous <b>and valued introduced</b> biodiversity from the impacts of climate change, enabling ecosystems and species to persist or adapt..."
<b>S163 Wairarapa Federated Farmers</b>	S163.097	Method CC.6: Identifying nature-based solutions for climate change	Oppose	Generally support intentions to prioritise; and expect that any tools for prioritising investments will be informed by "best bang for buck' principles; and supported by NZ and local evidence on sequestration values. However, the proposed overarching Objective A and B are intended to provide a concrete pathway towards a similar result.	That Method CC.6 be deleted. Delete FW icon
<b>S131 Ātiawa ki Whakaron gotai Charitable Trust</b>	S131.0137	Method CC.6: Identifying nature-based solutions for climate change	Support	Ātiawa support Method CC.6. Ātiawa seek that Regional Council provide for this partnership through adequate funding and resourcing.	Insert the following sentence to Method CC.6. <b>The Regional Council shall enable this partnership with mana whenua through adequate funding and resourcing.</b>
<b>S165 Royal Forest and</b>	S165.0113	Method CC.6: Identifying	Support		Retain

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
<b>Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest &amp; Bird)</b>		nature-based solutions for climate change			
<b>S167 Taranaki Whānui</b>	S167.0170	Method CC.6: Identifying nature-based solutions for climate change	Support	Taranaki Whānui support the inclusion of this method and in particular note the requirement to partner with mana whenua.  Taranaki Whānui want to indicate our intention to partner with council in this process.	Retain as notified.
<b>S168 Rangitāne O Wairarapa Inc</b>	S168.0152	Method CC.6: Identifying nature-based solutions for climate change	Support in part	Rangitāne o Wairarapa support Method CC.6 in part, to identify nature-based solutions for climate change, however, we request that the method is expanded to include another sub-clause specifying those ecosystems which provide nature-based solutions to natural hazard mitigation. Rangitāne o Wairarapa support provision for the council to partner with mana/tangata whenua to identify ecosystems that should be prioritised for protection, enhancement, and restoration; on the basis of their contribution as a nature-based solution to climate change.	Amend the method to include a sub-clause identifying ecosystems that provide nature-based solutions to natural hazard mitigation.
<b>S168 Rangitāne O Wairarapa Inc</b>	S168.0156	Method CC.6: Identifying nature-based solutions for climate change	Support	Rangitāne o Wairarapa strongly support developing and promoting a range of incentives to support an equitable and inclusive transition to zero and low carbon transport.	Retain as notified.
<b>S102 Te Tumu Paeroa   Office of the Māori Trustee</b>	S102.036	Method CC.7: Advocating for the use of transport	Support	Generally supports the methods to implement for the 'Climate Change' chapter.	Retain as notified.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
		pricing tools			
<b>S129 Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency</b>	S129.043	Method CC.7: Advocating for the use of transport pricing tools	Support in part	Agrees in principle with the behaviour change being sought however believe that further direction is required from central government before being able to support this method.	Seeks alignment with the direction from Central Government.
<b>S163 Wairarapa Federated Farmers</b>	S163.098	Method CC.7: Advocating for the use of transport pricing tools	Oppose	Defer to the 2024 RPS review  Transport taxes should not be imposed on sectors which do not have realistic alternatives, eg, heavy transport, rural areas. Council have already been advocating to the Government to this effect, ie, Method CC.7 is not a pre-condition for Council action.	That Method CC.7 be deleted.
<b>S166 Masterton District Council</b>	S166.076	Method CC.7: Advocating for the use of transport pricing tools	Not Stated / Neutral	Regional guidance on the use of transport pricing tools would be useful. Does this only apply to city councils?	Clarifications.  More information required to understand what this looks like and what the implications are for our community.
<b>S131 Ātiawa ki Whakaron gotai Charitable Trust</b>	S131.0138	Method CC.7: Advocating for the use of transport pricing tools	Oppose in part	While Ātiawa recognise the significant emissions from the transport industry, Ātiawa are concerned that any pricing tools/taxes will be passed on to citizens. Many whānau may struggle to absorb additional cost to household budgets. Therefore care should be applied when lobbying central government to encourage pricing tools/taxes to ensure these methods do not exacerbate existing inequalities.	Delete Method CC.7.
<b>S165 Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New</b>	S165.0114	Method CC.7: Advocating for the use of transport pricing tools	Support		Retain

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
<b>Zealand Inc. (Forest &amp; Bird)</b>					
<b>S167 Taranaki Whānui</b>	S167.0171	Method CC.7: Advocating for the use of transport pricing tools	Support in part	<p>Lower-decile areas (including Māori) have been historically disadvantaged by the public transport system.</p> <p>Taranaki Whānui supports the principle of this new method but would like to see stronger protection for lower-decile areas (including Māori). Other than reducing emissions, there needs to be a focus on equity of access to transport.</p>	Retain as notified.
<b>S168 Rangitāne O Wairarapa Inc</b>	S168.0153	Method CC.7: Advocating for the use of transport pricing tools	Support in part	<p>Rangitāne o Wairarapa support councils to advocate to central Government for new regulatory functions and tools for councils to manage congestion and GHG emissions within major urban areas; however, it is essential that any use of pricing tools and/or taxes is closely considered to ensure fair and equitable distribution of costs and inclusive transition to zero and low carbon transport. It will be crucial to ensure that a range of alternatives (particularly public transport) to private car use are available (through implementation of other policies, e.g., Policies CC.3 and CC.9, and Method CC.10) before financial policy instruments are applied.</p> <p>The issues around equity of the use of pricing tools or taxes and the need for complementary policies aimed at providing the necessary transport alternatives and encouraging transport mode shifts ahead of the use of pricing tools or taxes should be included in explanatory notes.</p>	Amend the Method to include explanatory notes for the Method that identify the potential for inequitable outcomes of applying financial policy instruments in the absence of policies, and methods that promote, incentivise and provide for transport modal shift.
<b>S34 Te Kaunihera o Te Awa Kairangi ki Uta, Upper Hutt City Council</b>	S34.077	Method IE.2: Inventory of biodiversity offsetting and biodiversity compensation	Support	Council supports the targets being removed from proposed provision seen in the draft RPS.	Retain method as notified.



SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
		opportunities			
<b>S102 Te Tumu Paeroa   Office of the Māori Trustee</b>	S102.063	Method IE.2: Inventory of biodiversity offsetting and biodiversity compensation opportunities	Support	Supports Method IE.2.	Retain as notified.
<b>S147 Wellington Fish and Game Council</b>	S147.099	Method IE.2: Inventory of biodiversity offsetting and biodiversity compensation opportunities	Support in part	The suggested amendment follows from the suggested amendment to Objective 16, above, and is intended to give better effect to the NPS-FM (including Policy 10). While the protections of indigenous ecosystems and habitats is vital, so too is the maintaining and enhancing of the whole environment, including those containing valued introduced species. An unduly narrow indigenous - centric focus could lead to lessening or removal of protections for non-indigenous dominant systems, habitats, and species. The loss of protections, enhancements, and restorations risks adverse environmental effects and weakened climate change resilience for the region.	Amend. Partner with mana whenua / tangata whenua <b>and stakeholders</b> , and engage with interested parties to develop a regional inventory of opportunities for offsetting or compensating for any residual adverse effects on ecosystems and habitats <b>with significant indigenous or valued introduced biodiversity values</b>
<b>S163 Wairarapa Federated Farmers</b>	S163.099	Method IE.2: Inventory of biodiversity offsetting and biodiversity compensation opportunities	Oppose	Support the intent, however this can be progressed outside the RPS framework, ie, Method IE.2 is not a pre-condition for action.	That Method IE.2 be deleted. Delete the FW icon

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
<b>S115 Hutt City Council</b>	S115.0111	Method IE.2: Inventory of biodiversity offsetting and biodiversity compensation opportunities	Oppose	While indigenous biodiversity is a key issue, we expect the government to soon gazette a National Policy.	Delete Method IE.2
<b>S131 Ātiawa ki Whakaron gotai Charitable Trust</b>	S131.0139	Method IE.2: Inventory of biodiversity offsetting and biodiversity compensation opportunities	Support in part	Ātiawa support partnering with mana whenua to develop an inventory of opportunities for biodiversity offsetting or biodiversity compensation. Ātiawa's position is that priority should be given to protecting, maintaining or enhancing biodiversity. Ātiawa seek that this partnership approach shall be enabled through funding and resourcing	Insert the following sentence to Method IE.2. <b>Mana whenua are enabled to partner with the Regional Council through adequate funding and resourcing.</b>
<b>S140 Wellington City Council (WCC)</b>	S140.0113	Method IE.2: Inventory of biodiversity offsetting and biodiversity compensation opportunities	Support in part	As City and District Councils are likely to be implementing the Indigenous Biodiversity effects management hierarchy, the inventory should be worked on collaboratively.	Amend to include City and District Councils.
<b>S165 Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of</b>	S165.0147	Method IE.2: Inventory of biodiversity offsetting	Oppose	An inventory of offsetting and compensation opportunities is not supported at the current time. Policy documents and institutional arrangements do not support such an inventory at the current time.	Delete Method IE.2 Consider replacing with a method that focusses on ecosystems that are restoration priorities for the Council, but that is not linked to offsetting and compensation, although it appears that this function is already fulfilled by the regional

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
<b>New Zealand Inc. (Forest &amp; Bird)</b>		and biodiversity compensation opportunities			biodiversity strategy.
<b>S167 Taranaki Whānui</b>	S167.0172	Method IE.2: Inventory of biodiversity offsetting and biodiversity compensation opportunities	Support in part	<p>Taranaki Whānui support the inclusion of this method. In particular we note and support the requirement to work in partnership with mana whenua. We would like to see clear statements on the resourcing/funding and capability building of mana whenua partners included in the description.</p> <p>Taranaki Whānui want to indicate their intention to work in partnership with councils in the implementation of this method.</p>	Retain as notified.
<b>S168 Rangitāne O Wairarapa Inc</b>	S168.0101	Method IE.2: Inventory of biodiversity offsetting and biodiversity compensation opportunities	Support	Rangitāne o Wairarapa support the inclusion of this method and the integration of partnership.	Retain as notified.
<b>S34 Te Kaunihera o Te Awa Kairangi ki Uta, Upper Hutt City Council</b>	S34.076	Method IE.3: Regional biodiversity strategy	Oppose in part	<p>Council supports the intent to work in partnership with mana whenua regarding indigenous biodiversity, however it is inappropriate to do so prior to the NPS-IB being gazetted.</p> <p>Council is also concerned that the proposed non-regulatory method, appears to rely on a future regulatory process.</p>	Delete method in its entirety and review once NPS-IB is gazetted.
<b>S129 Waka Kotahi NZ</b>	S129.044	Method IE.3: Regional	Support	Supports Method IE.3 and seek to be involved as a key stakeholder to ensure that the maintenance and operation of infrastructure is enabled.	Retain as notified.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
<b>Transport Agency</b>		biodiversity strategy			
<b>S131 Ātiawa ki Whakaron gotai Charitable Trust</b>	S131.0140	Method IE.3: Regional biodiversity strategy	Support in part	Ātiawa support intent of Method IE.3. Ātiawa seek that protection should also be included in the regional biodiversity strategy - protection of indigenous biodiversity from the impacts of development, use and subdivision should be considered as part of the framework for regional biodiversity strategy.	Develop and implement, in partnership with mana whenua / tangata whenua and in collaboration with territorial authorities, communities and other key stakeholders, a regional biodiversity strategy to <b>protect</b> , maintain and restore indigenous biodiversity at a Proposed Change 1 to the Regional Policy Statement for the Wellington Region August 2022 Page 190 of 228 landscape scale, incorporating both Mātauranga Māori and systematic conservation planning. <b>Mana whenua are enabled to partner with the Regional Council through adequate funding and resourcing.</b>
<b>S131 Ātiawa ki Whakaron gotai Charitable Trust</b>	S131.0141	Method IE.3: Regional biodiversity strategy	Support in part	Ātiawa support intent of Method IE.3. Ātiawa seek that protection should also be included in the regional biodiversity strategy - protection of indigenous biodiversity from the impacts of development, use and subdivision should be considered as part of the framework for regional biodiversity strategy.	Develop and implement, in partnership with mana whenua / tangata whenua and in collaboration with territorial authorities, communities and other key stakeholders, a regional biodiversity strategy to <b>protect</b> , maintain and restore indigenous biodiversity at a Proposed Change 1 to the Regional Policy Statement for the Wellington Region August 2022 Page 190 of 228 landscape scale, incorporating both Mātauranga Māori and systematic conservation planning. <b>Mana whenua are enabled to partner with the Regional Council through adequate funding and resourcing</b>
<b>S147 Wellington Fish and Game Council</b>	S147.0100	Method IE.3: Regional biodiversity strategy	Support in part	The suggested amendment follows from the suggested amendment to Objective 16, above, and is intended to give better effect to the NPS-FM (including Policy 10). While the protections of indigenous ecosystems and habitats is vital, so too is the maintaining and enhancing of the whole environment, including those containing valued introduced species. An unduly narrow indigenous - centric focus could lead to lessening or removal of protections for non-indigenous dominant systems, habitats, and	Amend. Develop and implement, in partnership with mana whenua / tangata whenua and in collaboration with territorial authorities, communities and other key stakeholders, a regional biodiversity strategy to maintain and restore <b>indigenous and valued introduced biodiversity</b> at a landscape scale, incorporating both Mātauranga Māori and systematic conservation planning."

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
				species. The loss of protections, enhancements, and restorations risks adverse environmental effects and weakened climate change resilience for the region.	
<b>S163 Wairarapa Federated Farmers</b>	S163.0100	Method IE.3: Regional biodiversity strategy	Oppose	Defer biodiversity matters to the 2024 RPS review.	That Method IE.3 be deleted.  Delete the FW icon
<b>S165 Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest &amp; Bird)</b>	S165.0115	Method IE.3: Regional biodiversity strategy	Support in part	A regional biodiversity strategy should also refer to the requirement to protect indigenous biodiversity	Add the word "protect" before the word "maintain". Amend to make this a regulatory method.
<b>S167 Taranaki Whānui</b>	S167.0173	Method IE.3: Regional biodiversity strategy	Support	Taranaki Whānui support the inclusion of this method. In particular we note and support the requirement to work in partnership with mana whenua. We would like to see clear statements on the resourcing/funding and capability building of mana whenua partners included in the description.  Taranaki Whānui want to indicate their intention to work in partnership with the regional council in the development and implementation of the regional biodiversity strategy.	Retain as notified.
<b>S168 Rangitāne O Wairarapa Inc</b>	S168.0102	Method IE.3: Regional biodiversity strategy	Support	Rangitāne o Wairarapa supports this method.	Retain as notified.
<b>S11 Outdoor Bliss Heather Blissett</b>	S11.012	Method 21: Identificatio n and protection of indigenous	Support in part	Let community be part of the solution	Include community as part of the solutions

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
		ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values			
<p><b>S30 Porirua City Council</b></p>	<p>S30.094</p>	<p>Method 21: Identification and protection of indigenous ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values</p>	<p>Oppose</p>	<p>Council supports this method being timebound in principle. It has already been given effect to through our PDP. However, Policy EI.1 requires a first principles approach to SNA identification and protection which would make it challenging for any council to meet this.</p> <p>The government has released an exposure draft of the NPS-IB which sets out additional requirements and a longer implementation timeframe. The RPS should align with these if/when the NPS-IB is gazetted.</p> <p>Wording change would increase clarity of method.</p>	<p>Amend method to either:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• remove 2025 time frame; or</li> <li>• align with NPS-IB timeframes once gazetted; or</li> <li>• provide for councils that have mapped and protected all SNA in their plan to give effect to this policy through their next full district plan review;</li> </ul> <p>And /or reword policy as follows:</p> <p>The regional council will liaise with the region's territorial authorities to ensure that all district plans include, by 30 June 2025 at the latest, a schedule of indigenous ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values and plan provisions to protect them from inappropriate subdivision, use and development.</p> <p>Where a <b>territorial authority has not initiated a district-wide indigenous biodiversity assessment</b> <del>has not been initiated</del> by 30 June 2024, the regional council will liaise with the territorial authority to agree on a programme of works and an understanding as to whether:</p> <p>(a) the territorial authority shall continue to have sole responsibility; or</p> <p>(b) the regional council shall take full responsibility; or</p> <p>(c) the territorial authority and the regional council shall share responsibilities.</p>
<p><b>S34 Te Kaunihera o Te Awa Kairangi ki Uta, Upper</b></p>	<p>S34.074</p>	<p>Method 21: Identification and protection of indigenous</p>	<p>Oppose</p>	<p>Council fundamentally disagrees with going ahead in advance of NPS-IB being gazetted but notes that Council may be interested in working with regional council if the provision remains.</p>	<p>Retain as operationally written and review once NPS-IB has been gazetted.</p>

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
Hutt City Council		ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values			
S79 South Wairarapa District Council	S79.051	Method 21: Identification and protection of indigenous ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values	Support	The Wairarapa Combined District Plan already identifies and protects SNA's. However, further review and ground truthing is estimated to cost approximately \$600,000. As noted in our submission above, this equates to an approximate 3% rates increase above the already significant increases SWDC has already set. As above, the timeframe means that this work is current unfunded and would need to go through the LTP cycle. The work would have to be completed in a very short timetable assuming it could be funded. Council wishes to discuss passing this responsibility to GWRC.	Retain as notified
S115 Hutt City Council	S115.0112	Method 21: Identification and protection of indigenous ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values	Oppose	Statement on Indigenous Biodiversity ("NPS-IB"). The proposed provisions may well conflict with the NPS-IB especially with regards to the process for identifying indigenous ecosystems.  We request that all provisions relating to indigenous biodiversity be deleted and if regional direction is thought necessary after the NPS-IB is gazetted, that should occur through a variation or a separate policy statement change.	Retain existing Operative Method 21. Failing that, amend the deadline from 30 June 2025 to 5 years after RPS Change1 becomes operative.
S131 Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust	S131.0142	Method 21: Identification and protection of indigenous	Support	While Ātiawa supports the intent of Method 21, Ātiawa seek to partner with Regional Council in the development of a schedule of indigenous ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values. This will ensure	The Regional Council will liaise with the region's territorial authorities <b>and partner with mana whenua</b> to ensure that all district plans include, by 30 June 2025 at the latest, a schedule of indigenous ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
		ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values		that mana whenua are included in the decision making process in regards to land with indigenous ecosystems and habitats that is held under Te Ture Whenua Māori Act 1993 and general title held by Māori. It also ensures mana whenua values are provided for in the development of the schedule.	and plan provisions to protect them from inappropriate subdivision, use and development. Where a district-wide indigenous biodiversity assessment has not been initiated by 30 June 2024, the Regional Council will liaise with the territorial authority to agree on a programme of works and an understanding as to whether: (a) the territorial authority shall continue to have sole responsibility; or (b) the Regional Council shall take full responsibility; or (c) the territorial authority and the Regional Council shall share responsibilities. <b>Mana whenua are enabled to partner with the Regional Council through adequate funding and resourcing.</b>
<b>S140 Wellington City Council (WCC)</b>	S140.0114	Method 21: Identification and protection of indigenous ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values	Support	Support as proposed.	Retain as notified.
<b>S147 Wellington Fish and Game Council</b>	S147.0101	Method 21: Identification and protection of indigenous ecosystems and habitats	Support	Necessary to implement the NPS-FM.	Retain as notified.



SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
		with significant indigenous biodiversity values			
<b>S165 Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest &amp; Bird)</b>	S165.0116	Method 21: Identification and protection of indigenous ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values	Support in part		<p>Amend as follows: The regional council will liaise with the region's territorial authorities to ensure that all district plans include, <b>as soon as possible, and in any event no later than 30 June 2025</b> at the latest, a schedule of indigenous ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values and plan provisions to protect them from inappropriate subdivision, use and development.</p> <p>Add the words "as soon as possible, and in any event no later than" before the words "30 June 2025"</p> <p><b>Amend to make this a regulatory method.</b></p>
<b>S167 Taranaki Whānui</b>	S167.0174	Method 21: Identification and protection of indigenous ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values	Support	<p>Taranaki Whānui supports this method as part of the implementation of Policy 23.</p> <p>Taranaki Whānui seek to partner with the regional council in the development of this schedule of indigenous ecosystems and habitats.</p>	Retain as notified.
<b>S102 Te Tumu Paeroa   Office of</b>	S102.051	Method 48: Water allocation	Support in part	Supports the review of the water allocation policy in the regional policy statement, particularly alternative solutions to a first in first served policy which has historically disadvantaged Māori landowners.	Amend Method 48 clause (e) as follows: (e) provide for iwi, hapū <b>and Māori landowners</b> rights, <del>and</del> <b>interests and responsibilities;</b>

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
the Māori Trustee		policy review		However, considers 'rights and interests' do not recognise the full extent of Māori rights, interests and responsibilities in freshwater, including the preservation of those rights and interests. Therefore, there is an express need to include 'responsibilities' to guarantee Māori rights, interests and responsibilities in freshwater are appropriately recognised and provided for.	
S113 Wellington Water	S113.047	Method 48: Water allocation policy review	Support in part	The method lacks clarity, appears to have clauses with similar intents and does not have an overarching purpose. e.g. Clause (c) and (d) are duplicates. Clauses (f) and (g) are duplicates. Clauses (i) and (j) are redundant.	Amend Method 48 to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clause (a) - refer to 'appropriate allocation' rather than 'efficient allocation'.</li> <li>• Delete either clause (c) or (d).</li> <li>• Clarify how transferable permits relate to improved water allocation.</li> <li>• Clarify what alternatives to 'first in, first served' will be considered.</li> <li>• Delete either clause (f) or (g).</li> <li>• Relate clause (h) to water allocation rather than climate change.</li> <li>• Delete clauses (i) and (j).</li> </ul>
S128 Horticulture New Zealand	S128.059	Method 48: Water allocation policy review	Support in part	A minor amendment to (i) is sought to align with overall climate direction.	Amend as follows:(i) land use change to <b>lower emissions or</b> more climate resilient uses is promoted
S128 Horticulture New Zealand	S128.060	Method 48: Water allocation policy review	Support in part	Coherence is lacking in subclauses (c), (d), (g) and (h): in how they link to the "Review water allocation policy in the regional plan so that:" statement.	correct grammatical errors in (c), (d), (g) and (h)
S136 DairyNZ	S136.021	Method 48: Water allocation policy review	Oppose in part	Water availability will continue to be a pressing issue for the Greater Wellington region due to both the regulatory implications of implementing Te Mana o te Wai and the increasing pressures of Climate Change. Support an ambitious and collaborative approach to investing and developing a diverse portfolio of nature based and constructed solutions to water storage in the region. This works could begin prior to any further regulatory changes. Water availability is crucial to most land uses and	Delete Method 48 and address the issue through a full review of the RPS.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
				reliable access to water will create flexible for farmers and the wider community to adapt to climate change. These issues should be a key priority to be addressed in the review and this work should align with the review of the NRP in 2023/2024.	
<b>S144 Sustainable Wairarapa Inc</b>	S144.051	Method 48: Water allocation policy review	Support	Support transferable permits and alternatives to first-in first-served, which can help improve water allocation efficiency.	Retain as notified.
<b>S145 Wairarapa Water Users Society</b>	S145.004	Method 48: Water allocation policy review	Oppose	The consideration of alternatives to the "first in first served" principle in the RMA would seem to be beyond the remit of a Regional Council. If a new fundamental principle is proposed, this should be left to Central Government as they hold the power to create law.  This would also seem to cut across the overall review of the RMA that is currently underway with the Government.  The potential exists for this change from "first in first served" to be applied to existing consents, particularly if the Council believes that the current consents create an unfair allocation.	Amend Method 48 to remove (f) OR  If the provision is retained, any review process should include having the current water users at the table with the ability to provide information and influence the decisions made.  A factor in the consideration of alternatives needs to be the value of assets that may become "stranded" if access to water is reduced or removed.
<b>S168 Rangitāne O Wairarapa Inc</b>	S168.063	Method 48: Water allocation policy review	Oppose in part	Rangitāne o Wairarapa do not support the 'first in first served' allocation method, as this has resulted in widespread degradation of our wai and an inability of our whānau, hapū and iwi to exercise tino rangatiratanga. We consider this method should be rejected outright, and that other alternatives should be explored. The method is not sufficiently clear as to what might constitute 'equitable allocation'.	Amend the provision: So that it is grammatically correct and consistent, as currently not all clauses flow appropriately from the introduction. To reference alternative allocation principles as alternatives to the 'first in first served' allocation method; So that the 'first in first served' allocation method is rejected outright; To clarify what is meant by 'equitable allocation' in this context.
<b>S131 Ātiawa ki Whakaron gotai Charitable Trust</b>	S131.0143	Method 48: Water allocation policy review	Support in part	Ātiawa support the intent of Method 48 - water allocation is a significant resource management issue for Ātiawa and Ātiawa are keen to see water allocation addressed in a way that gives effect to the NPS-FM 2020 (including Te Mana o te Wai), as well as providing for mana	Review water allocation policy in the regional plan so that: (a) freshwater is allocated and used efficiently; (b) all existing over-allocation is phased out and future overallocation is avoided; (c) avoid allocating water beyond a limit; (d) improve water allocation efficiency- including transferable permits;

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
				whenua rights and interests in water, and overall providing for the well-being of the water and sustainable use of water.	(e) provide for iwi and hapū rights and interests; (f) alternatives to first in first served are considered; (g) provide for equitable allocation; (h) adapt to climate change; (i) land use change to more climate resilient uses is promoted; (j) government direction on water allocation is considered; and (k) all matters regarding giving effect to the NPS-FM are <b>provided for considered</b>
<b>S147 Wellington Fish and Game Council</b>	S147.0102	Method 48: Water allocation policy review	Support	Necessary to implement the NPS-FM.	Retain as notified.
<b>S163 Wairarapa Federated Farmers</b>	S163.0101	Method 48: Water allocation policy review	Oppose	Defer water allocation matters to the 2024 RPS review.  More information on the reasons for rejecting this method is in the submission.	That the amendments to Method 48 be deleted.
<b>S165 Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest &amp; Bird)</b>	S165.0117	Method 48: Water allocation policy review	Support in part	This method should have a realistic date by which it must be achieved. Several of the paragraphs don't make grammatical sense and need amendment.	Add a date by which this method must be achieved. Correct grammar. Amend (k) to ensure that the NPSFM is in fact given effect to: (k) all matters regarding giving effect to the NPS-FM are considered <b>and implemented</b>
<b>S167 Taranaki Whānui</b>	S167.0175	Method 48: Water allocation policy review	Support	Taranaki Whānui supports this method and the absolute need to review the first in first served allocation regime.  Taranaki Whānui support providing for iwi and hapū rights and interests, and equitable allocation. We also agree that over-allocation must be phased out.  In giving effect to the NPS-FM and therefore Te Mana o te Wai, Taranaki Whānui are keen to partner with regional council on this policy review.	Retain as notified.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
<b>S79 South Wairarapa District Council</b>	S79.052	Method CC.8: Programme to support low-emissions and climate-resilient agriculture-non-regulatory methods	Support in part	The method is appropriate but it should include partnering with appropriate stakeholders.	Amend the chapeau of Policy CC.8 to include that this method is undertaken in conjunction with stakeholders.
<b>S102 Te Tumu Paeroa   Office of the Māori Trustee</b>	S102.037	Method CC.8: Programme to support low-emissions and climate-resilient agriculture-non-regulatory methods	Support	Generally supports the methods to implement for the 'Climate Change' chapter.	Retain as notified.
<b>S123 Peter Thompson</b>	S123.013	Method CC.8: Programme to support low-emissions and climate-resilient agriculture-non-regulatory methods	Support	This support is very necessary to assisting a transition to a low emission environment	Retain as notified.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
<b>S128 Horticulture New Zealand</b>	S128.061	Method CC.8: Programme to support low-emissions and climate-resilient agriculture-non-regulatory methods	Support in part	Support the general intent, land use change to horticulture is also an option for reducing emissions - amendment is sought to (c) to reflect this.	Amend as follows:(c) promoting and supporting actions to reduce agricultural gross greenhouse gas emissions and/or increase climate resilience, <b>including options for land use change to horticulture</b>
<b>S137 Greater Wellington Regional Council (GWRC)</b>	S137.011	Method CC.8: Programme to support low-emissions and climate-resilient agriculture-non-regulatory methods	Support in part	Clarify the need to resource and implement the climate change extension programme.	Amend Method CC.8 as follows: By June 2024, develop <b>and implement</b> a targeted climate change extension programme to actively promote and support changes to reduce agricultural greenhouse gas emissions and increase rural land use resilience to climate change, including by:
<b>S144 Sustainable Wairarapa Inc</b>	S144.018	Method CC.8: Programme to support low-emissions and climate-resilient agriculture-non-regulatory methods	Support	This support is very necessary to assisting a transition to a low emission environment	Retain as notified.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
<b>S166 Masterton District Council</b>	S166.077	Method CC.8: Programme to support low-emissions and climate-resilient agriculture-non-regulatory methods	Support in part	Supportive in principle but need to know more about how this will work in with central government and primary industry initiatives in this area.	Retain as notified. However: More information required for this method.
<b>S131 Ātiawa ki Whakaron gotai Charitable Trust</b>	S131.0144	Method CC.8: Programme to support low-emissions and climate-resilient agriculture-non-regulatory methods	Support	Ātiawa are supportive of actions to actively promote and support changes to reduce agricultural greenhouse gas emissions and increase rural land use resilience to climate change.	Retain as notified.
<b>S147 Wellington Fish and Game Council</b>	S147.0103	Method CC.8: Programme to support low-emissions and climate-resilient agriculture-non-regulatory methods	Support	Necessary to implement the NPS-FM.	Retain as notified.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
<b>S163 Wairarapa Federated Farmers</b>	S163.0102	Method CC.8: Programme to support low-emissions and climate-resilient agriculture-non-regulatory methods	Oppose	Defer to the full review of the RPS in 2024.  General support for the intent but proposing an RPS Change One method is not a pre-condition for getting the job done.	That Method CC.8 be deleted.  Delete the FW icon
<b>S165 Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest &amp; Bird)</b>	S165.0118	Method CC.8: Programme to support low-emissions and climate-resilient agriculture-non-regulatory methods	Support	The policy basis for this method (i.e. policy CC. 5) should be broader to capture other industries. As such, a similar method of support for other industries may be appropriate.	Retain. Consider including a similar method for supporting other industries to transition to low/zero carbon.
<b>S167 Taranaki Whānui</b>	S167.0176	Method CC.8: Programme to support low-emissions and climate-resilient agriculture-non-regulatory methods	Support	Taranaki Whānui support the principle of this new method. We especially support a programme that promotes a reduction of emissions by the agricultural sector.	Retain as notified.



SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
<b>S168 Rangitāne O Wairarapa Inc</b>	S168.0154	Method CC.8: Programme to support low-emissions and climate-resilient agriculture-non-regulatory methods	Support in part	Rangitāne o Wairarapa support Method CC.8 in part, to develop a target extension programme to reduce agricultural greenhouse gas emissions and increase rural land use resilience to climate change. However, we seek that incentives to support change are developed and included as part of this programme.	Amend the method to include the development of incentives to reduce agricultural submissions and increase rural land use resilience, as part of this programme.
<b>S168 Rangitāne O Wairarapa Inc</b>	S168.0188	Method CC.8: Programme to support low-emissions and climate-resilient agriculture-non-regulatory methods	Support in part	Inclusion of this Method is supported. While the provisions relating to identifying appropriate areas and species for tree planting / natural regeneration in farm plans is supported, the clause should express a preference for native species of vegetation for planting / natural regeneration. Provision (e) identifying other on-farm nature-based solutions that will increase the resilience of a farm system and/or catchment to the effects of climate change is supported.	Amend clause (d) of the method to include a preference for native species of vegetation for planting / natural regeneration in farm plans as part of implementing the regional spatial forest plan.
<b>S102 Te Tumu Paeroa   Office of the Māori Trustee</b>	S102.038	Method CC.9: Support and funding for protecting, enhancing, and restoring indigenous ecosystems and nature-	Support	Generally supports the methods to implement for the 'Climate Change' chapter.	Retain as notified.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
		based solutions			
<b>S123 Peter Thompson</b>	S123.020	Method CC.9: Support and funding for protecting, enhancing, and restoring indigenous ecosystems and nature-based solutions	Support	Assistance is needed by care-groups and lanowners to care for indigenous ecosystems	Retain as notified.
<b>S144 Sustainable Wairarapa Inc</b>	S144.025	Method CC.9: Support and funding for protecting, enhancing, and restoring indigenous ecosystems and nature-based solutions	Support	Assistance is needed by care-groups and lanowners to care for indigenous ecosystems. Nature based solutions offer a wide range of benefits to be provided compared to grey/hard infrastructure.(see policy FW.7)	Retain as notified.
<b>S147 Wellington Fish and Game Council</b>	S147.033	Method CC.9: Support and funding for protecting, enhancing, and restoring indigenous	Support	Note the typographical errors pp. 173 and 191, which read: "Method CC.9: Support and funding for protecting, enhancing, and restoring indigenous ecosystems and nature-based solutions". These should be amended to read as per pp. 64.	Ensure wording on page 64, 173 and 191 are consistent. Retain as notified.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
		ecosystems and nature-based solutions			
<b>S166 Masterton District Council</b>	S166.078	Method CC.9: Support and funding for protecting, enhancing, and restoring indigenous ecosystems and nature-based solutions	Support	A healthy natural environment is a key tool in creating a climate resilient district so we are supportive of this method.	Retain as notified.
<b>S131 Ātiawa ki Whakaron gotai Charitable Trust</b>	S131.0145	Method CC.9: Support and funding for protecting, enhancing, and restoring indigenous ecosystems and nature-based solutions	Support	Ātiawa support the intent of Method CC.9. Ātiawa seek the minor amendment to clarify reference to the correct policy.	Provide support, and seek new sources of funding, for programmes that protect, enhance or restore the priority ecosystems identified by Methods IE.2 and <b>Policy</b> CC.7 for their biodiversity values and/or their contribution as naturebased solutions to climate change.
<b>S163 Wairarapa Federated Farmers</b>	S163.0103	Method CC.9: Support and funding for protecting, enhancing,	Oppose	Defer to the full review of the RPS in 2024.  General support for the intent but proposing an RPS Change One method is not a pre-condition for getting the job done.	That Method CC.9 be deleted.  Delete the FW icon

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
		and restoring indigenous ecosystems and nature-based solutions			
<p><b>S165</b>  <b>Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest &amp; Bird)</b></p>	S165.0119	Method CC.9: Support and funding for protecting, enhancing, and restoring indigenous ecosystems and nature-based solutions	Support in part	<p>Support this method, but caution that if the identification processes under Methods IE.2 and CC.7 are not broad enough, they may not capture all areas that would benefit from restoration. The policy should therefore be broader than currently drafted. There also needs to be provision for support in the period of time up until those identification processes are complete. The reference to Method CC.7 appears to be in error.</p> <p>Sought deletion of method IE.2 (above) and seek deletion of the reference in this method. IE.2 is about an inventory of offsetting and compensation opportunities for consent applicants. Method CC.9 is aimed at providing funding and support for enhancement or restoration of ecosystems for their biodiversity values and/or as nature-based CC solutions. These are different approaches to offsetting and compensation, and the two concepts should not be mixed.</p> <p>It would be more appropriate to link this to the restoration priorities covered in the regional biodiversity strategy.</p>	<p>Amend method as follows:            Provide support, and seek new sources of funding, for programmes that protect, enhance or restore <del>the priority</del> ecosystems, <b>particularly the priority ecosystems</b> identified by <del>Methods IE.2 and</del> the <b>regional biodiversity strategy</b> and CC.7 for their biodiversity values and/or their contribution as nature-based solutions to climate change.</p> <p>Also include provision in the method for support prior to the identification processes having been completed. Refer to the regional biodiversity strategy, which appears to be intended to identify restoration priorities.</p>
<p><b>S167</b>  <b>Taranaki Whānui</b></p>	S167.0177	Method CC.9: Support and funding for protecting, enhancing, and restoring indigenous	Support	<p>Taranaki Whānui support the principle of this new method. We would like to see clarity around the support and funding for mana whenua partners in particular.</p>	Retain as notified.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
		ecosystems and nature-based solutions			
<b>S168 Rangitāne O Wairarapa Inc</b>	S168.0155	Method CC.9: Support and funding for protecting, enhancing, and restoring indigenous ecosystems and nature-based solutions	Oppose in part	Rangitāne o Wairarapa oppose Method CC.9 in part and seek that specific provision is made for mana/ tangata whenua led programmes to be developed where priority indigenous ecosystems have been identified by Methods IE. 2 and CC.6. We also seek that these programmes are 'implemented'. The reference to CC.7 appears to be an error. The word 'indigenous' should be inserted to ensure the focus is on the appropriate biodiversity values.	Amend the method: To make specific provision for mana/ tangata whenua led programmes to be developed where priority indigenous ecosystems have been identified by Methods IE. 2 and CC.6; 'To <b>implement</b> programmes that protect, enhance...' <b>To replace text CC.7 with CC.6;</b> To include the word ' <b>indigenous</b> ' before 'biodiversity values'.
<b>S11 Outdoor Bliss Heather Blissett</b>	S11.004	Method CC.10: Establish incentives to shift to active and public transport	Support in part	For me to stay active and walk to work years ago at the same place that some of my children attended education. Cost me \$10 per day rather than drive to the same destination.	Amend policy as follows: Establish incentives to shift to active and public transport - <b>consider reducing the working day to 6 hours and increasing the hourly wage and thereby increase wellbeing overall.</b>
<b>S34 Te Kaunihera o Te Awa Kairangi ki Uta, Upper Hutt City Council</b>	S34.021	Method CC.10: Establish incentives to shift to active and public transport	Support in part	Council supports measures to enable shift to active and public transport.  However, it is unclear what an equitable and inclusive transition means or how this will be determined, enforced in an RMA context and measured  It is also unclear who will be eligible to receive funding, e.g. Territorial Authorities.  The funding of these incentives needs to be considered within the context of other funding needs and priorities in the region, such as the need to	Clarify what is meant by an equitable and inclusive transition, who is eligible for funding, and establish or advocate for funding to address the identified issues.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
				support underinvestment in walking and cycling, especially if these are established through the RLTP process.	
<b>S100 Meridian Energy Limited</b>	S100.023	Method CC.10: Establish incentives to shift to active and public transport	Support	Meridian wishes to record its interest in being a partner in establishing, supporting and promoting incentives for the uptake of zero and low-carbon transport initiatives.	Retain Method CC.10
<b>S102 Te Tumu Paeroa   Office of the Māori Trustee</b>	S102.039	Method CC.10: Establish incentives to shift to active and public transport	Support	Generally supports the methods to implement for the 'Climate Change' chapter.	Retain as notified.
<b>S129 Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency</b>	S129.013	Method CC.10: Establish incentives to shift to active and public transport	Support in part	Generally supports, including that the policy promotes modal choice including active modes, and encourages consideration of the accessibility needs to the community. It is however noted that the current wording can be interpreted to suggest that the onus falls on transport infrastructure providers to provide the incentives to achieve the outcomes of this method. Much of this can be achieved through utilising the Regional Land Transport Plan process to identify activities to be put forward for funding.	Seeks to amend wording to provide clarity on the responsibilities to achieve Method CC.10.
<b>S129 Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency</b>	S129.045	Method CC.10: Establish incentives to shift to active and public transport	Support	Acknowledges that have similar mode shift behaviour change incentives and look forward to working with the Greater Wellington Regional Council to rationalise funding.	Retain as notified.
<b>S137 Greater Wellington Regional</b>	S137.016	Method CC.10: Establish incentives	Support in part	The notified heading does not reflect the method as well as it could.  A minor change to ensure 'low and zero-carbon' is	Amend Method CC.10 as follows: Method CC.10: Establish incentives to shift to <b>low and zero-carbon multi modal transport active and</b>

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
<b>Council (GWRC)</b>		to shift to active and public transport		consistently referred to, and to reflect the direction to decarbonise public transport included in Policy 9.	<del>public transport</del> Establish, support and promote a range of incentives for uptake of <b>low and zero-carbon</b> <del>zero and low-carbon</del> multi modal transport, including public transport, to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and to support an equitable and inclusive transition.
<b>S151 NZ Centre for Sustainable Cities</b>	S151.008	Method CC.10: Establish incentives to shift to active and public transport	Support	Support establishment of incentives to shift to active and public transport - non-regulatory method.	Not stated.
<b>S166 Masterton District Council</b>	S166.079	Method CC.10: Establish incentives to shift to active and public transport	Support in part	Regional incentives for mode shift to low-emissions transport will be key to our district contributing to lowering regional emissions.	Retain as notified. However: MDC is requesting more information on how these incentives will work in rural areas.
<b>S131 Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust</b>	S131.0146	Method CC.10: Establish incentives to shift to active and public transport	Support	Ātiawa support Method CC.10	Retain as notified
<b>S165 Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand</b>	S165.0120	Method CC.10: Establish incentives to shift to active and public transport	Support		Retain

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
Inc. (Forest & Bird)					
S167 Taranaki Whānui	S167.0178	Method CC.10: Establish incentives to shift to active and public transport	Support	Taranaki Whānui support the principle of this new method. In particular we support establishing incentives that promote equity and inclusiveness.  Taranaki Whānui seek to partner with council on the development of these incentives.	Retain as notified.
S147 Wellington Fish and Game Council	S147.083	Method IE.4: Kaitiaki indigenous biodiversity monitoring	Support	Look forward to working in partnership with tangata whenua and support resourcing of kaitiaki programmes to assist in achieving positive environmental outcomes for our valued freshwater species.	Retain as notified.
S170 Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira	S170.076	Method IE.4: Kaitiaki indigenous biodiversity monitoring	Not Stated / Neutral	Method IE3 Kaitiaki indigenous biodiversity monitoring programme  This is connected throughout the plan; kaitiaki monitoring is not intended just for biodiversity; the theme needs to spread throughout all areas of taiao. It has not been consistently applied the same language provided here in this method as it needs to be coming across all the RPS. The word 'support' mana whenua can be redrafted to say, 'ensure Mana Whenua has sufficient resources to establish a mana whenua kaitiaki monitoring programme to monitor the health of the region's indigenous biodiversity.' Note that comments made above, the kaitiaki monitoring does not just apply to indigenous biodiversity and the method should speak to how this is incorporated to the GWRC monitoring frameworks.	Amend the word 'support' to say, 'ensure Mana Whenua has sufficient resources to establish a mana whenua kaitiaki monitoring programme to monitor the health of the region's indigenous biodiversity.' Kaitiaki monitoring shouldn't just be in relation to biodiversity.
S131 Ātiawa ki Whakaron gotai Charitable Trust	S131.0147	Method IE.4: Kaitiaki indigenous biodiversity monitoring	Support	Ātiawa support Method IE.4. Ātiawa seek that Regional Council provide for this partnership through adequate funding and resourcing.	Insert the following sentence to Method IE.4: <b>Mana whenua are enabled to partner with the Regional Council through adequate funding and resourcing.</b>



SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
<b>S165 Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest &amp; Bird)</b>	S165.0121	Method IE.4: Kaitiaki indigenous biodiversity monitoring	Support		Retain
<b>S167 Taranaki Whānui</b>	S167.0179	Method IE.4: Kaitiaki indigenous biodiversity monitoring	Support	Taranaki Whānui support this new method. Taranaki Whānui note the clear mention of partnership and resourcing.  Taranaki Whānui are keen to work with the regional council and to develop our kaitiaki monitoring programme.	Retain as notified.
<b>S168 Rangitāne O Wairarapa Inc</b>	S168.0103	Method IE.4: Kaitiaki indigenous biodiversity monitoring	Support	Rangitāne o Wairarapa supports this method, particularly the partnership directive.	Retain as notified.
<b>S102 Te Tumu Paeroa   Office of the Māori Trustee</b>	S102.087	Method 53: Support mana whenua / tangata whenua and community restoration initiatives for indigenous ecosystem s	Support	Generally supports the methods to implement for the 'Coastal Environment' chapter.	Retain as notified.
<b>S123 Peter Thompson</b>	S123.012	Method 53: Support mana whenua /	Support	Partnering is very important in this space	Retain as notified.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
		tangata whenua and community restoration initiatives for indigenous ecosystems			
<b>S144 Sustainable Wairarapa Inc</b>	S144.017	Method 53: Support mana whenua / tangata whenua and community restoration initiatives for indigenous ecosystems	Support	Partnering is very important in this space	Retain as notified.
<b>S147 Wellington Fish and Game Council</b>	S147.014	Method 53: Support mana whenua / tangata whenua and community restoration initiatives for indigenous ecosystems	Oppose	Proposed Change 1 to replace "coastal environment, rivers, lakes and wetlands" with "indigenous ecosystems" in Method 53 is unclear and inappropriately narrow. It is also unnecessary to give effect to the NPS-FM. Restricting Method 53 to "indigenous ecosystems" excludes the habitats of valued introduced species such as trout, salmon, and gamebirds. Narrowing the focus of Method 53 as proposed: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• will introduce potential adverse environmental effects (such as trophic cascades);</li> <li>• adopts a values-based approach to policies and management rather than science based; and</li> <li>• does not give effect to Policy 10 of the NPS-FM</li> </ul>	Retain original drafting without changes in Proposed Change 1. OR Amend to read: "Support mana whenua/tangata whenua and community restoration initiatives for indigenous <b>coastal and freshwater</b> ecosystems."
<b>S166 Masterton District Council</b>	S166.069	Method 53: Support mana whenua /	Support	A healthy natural environment is a key tool in creating a climate resilient district so we are supportive of this method.	Retain as notified.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
		tangata whenua and community restoration initiatives for indigenous ecosystems			
<b>S115 Hutt City Council</b>	S115.0113	Method 53: Support mana whenua / tangata whenua and community restoration initiatives for indigenous ecosystems	Oppose in part	Oppose the inclusion of non-regulatory policies and methods that apply to territorial authorities.	Amend Method 53 so that it does not apply to city and district councils.
<b>S131 Ātiawa ki Whakaron gotai Charitable Trust</b>	S131.0148	Method 53: Support mana whenua / tangata whenua and community restoration initiatives for indigenous ecosystems	Support in part	Ātiawa support the principle of enabling partnership models for mana whenua through support such as funding. Ātiawa have sought that a clause that effectively seeks funding for mana whenua be included to all relevant methods. Ātiawa prefer that this clause be included in relevant methods, rather than the approach of Method 53, to ensure that funding occurs and is explicitly provided for.	Include the following clause to all methods that seek to partner with mana whenua: <b>Mana whenua are enabled to partner with the Regional Council through adequate funding and resourcing</b>
<b>S140 Wellington City</b>	S140.0115	Method 53: Support mana whenua /	Support	Support as proposed.	Retain as notified.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
<b>Council (WCC)</b>		tangata whenua and community restoration initiatives for indigenous ecosystems			
<b>S163 Wairarapa Federated Farmers</b>	S163.0104	Method 53: Support mana whenua / tangata whenua and community restoration initiatives for indigenous ecosystems	Oppose	Defer to the full review of the RPS in 2024.  General support for the intent but proposing an RPS Change One method is not a pre-condition for getting the job done.	That the amendments to Method 53 be deleted  Delete the FW icon
<b>S165 Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest &amp; Bird)</b>	S165.0122	Method 53: Support mana whenua / tangata whenua and community restoration initiatives for indigenous ecosystems	Support in part	Support this method, but caution that if the identification processes under Methods IE.2 and CC.6 are not broad enough, or are not carried out appropriately, they may not capture all areas that would benefit from restoration. The policy should therefore be broader than currently drafted. There also needs to be provision for restoration support in the period of time up until those identification processes are complete. The reference to Method CC.7 appears to be in error. We have also sought deletion of method IE.2 (above), and seek deletion of the reference in this method. IE.2 is about an inventory of offsetting and compensation opportunities for consent applicants. Method 54 is aimed at assisting mana whenua/tangata whenua and communities restoration initiatives - this is different to offsetting and compensation, and the two concepts should not	Delete reference to IE.2. Correct reference to CC.7. Refer to the regional biodiversity strategy, which appears to be intended to identify restoration priorities.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
				be mixed. It would be more appropriate to link this to the restoration priorities covered in the regional biodiversity strategy.	
<b>S167 Taranaki Whānui</b>	S167.0180	Method 53: Support mana whenua / tangata whenua and community restoration initiatives for indigenous ecosystem s	Support	Taranaki Whānui support the principle of this new method. In particular the support stated for mana whenua and the change in focus of this method to include all indigenous ecosystems.  Taranaki Whānui are keen to partner in the development of these initiatives.	Retain as notified.
<b>S168 Rangitāne O Wairarapa Inc</b>	S168.0100	Method 53: Support mana whenua / tangata whenua and community restoration initiatives for indigenous ecosystem s	Support	Rangitāne o Wairarapa supports the amendments to this method.	Retain as notified.
<b>S30 Porirua City Council</b>	S30.096	Method 54: Assist landowner s to maintain, enhance and restore indigenous ecosystem s	Support in part	Policy should be time bound to increase clarity and regulatory certainty.	Amend policy so that it is timebound.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
<b>S30 Porirua City Council</b>	S30.097	Method 54: Assist landowners to maintain, enhance and restore indigenous ecosystems	Support in part	There are other methods which should be added to this method for completeness, rates rebates are just one tool under a wider umbrella of non-regulatory support.	Amend method as follows: Assist landowners to maintain, enhance and/or restore indigenous ecosystems identified by Methods IE.2 and CC.7, including by, but not limited to: (a) assisting with the costs of legally protecting indigenous ecosystems by way of open space covenants with Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust (QEII); (b) considering opportunities for providing <b>advice, education, support and incentives</b> <del>rates rebates</del> ; (c) assisting with the costs of controlling pest plants and animals; and (d) supporting landowners to restore significant indigenous ecosystems by fencing and planting. Implementation: Wellington Regional Council and city and district councils
<b>S102 Te Tumu Paeroa   Office of the Māori Trustee</b>	S102.061	Method 54: Assist landowners to maintain, enhance and restore indigenous ecosystems	Support in part	Generally supports the methods to implement in the 'Indigenous ecosystems' chapter.  Supports the consideration for rates rebates, particularly as whenua Māori tends to have a greater amount of indigenous cover, limiting its land use capabilities.  However, Māori landowners should be assisted in the cost to maintain, enhance and restore indigenous ecosystems by way of Ngā Whenua Rāhui as well as QEII covenants.	Amend Method 54 as follows: ... (a) assisting with the costs of legally protecting indigenous ecosystems by way of open space covenants with Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust (QEII) <b>and Ngā Whenua Rāhui</b> ;
<b>S123 Peter Thompson</b>	S123.021	Method 54: Assist landowners to maintain, enhance and restore indigenous ecosystems	Support	Assistance is needed by care-groups and lanowners to care for indigenous ecosystems	Retain as notified.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
<b>S144 Sustainable Wairarapa Inc</b>	S144.026	Method 54: Assist landowners to maintain, enhance and restore indigenous ecosystems	Support	Indigenous ecosystems are vital to adapt to climate change and to improve biodiversity and water resilience. Assistance is needed by care-groups and landowners to care for indigenous ecosystems	Retain as notified.
<b>S147 Wellington Fish and Game Council</b>	S147.031	Method 54: Assist landowners to maintain, enhance and restore indigenous ecosystems	Support in part	The suggested amendment follows from the suggested amendment to Objective 16, and is intended to give better effect to the NPS-FM (including Policy 10). While the protections of indigenous ecosystems and habitats is vital, so too is the maintaining and enhancing of the whole environment, including those containing valued introduced species. An unduly narrow indigenous - centric focus could lead to lessening or removal of protections for non-indigenous dominant systems, habitats, and species. The loss of protections, enhancements, and restorations risks adverse environmental effects and weakened climate change resilience for the region.	Amend title and text: Method 54: Assist landowners to maintain, enhance, and restore indigenous ecosystems <b>and habitats with significant biodiversity or other values.</b>  Assist landowners to maintain, enhance and/or restore indigenous ecosystems <b>and habitats with significant biodiversity or other values</b> identified by Methods IE.2 and CC.7, including by, but not limited to:
<b>S147 Wellington Fish and Game Council</b>	S147.032	Method 54: Assist landowners to maintain, enhance and restore indigenous ecosystems	Support in part	The suggested amendment follows from the suggested amendment to Objective 16, and is intended to give better effect to the NPS-FM (including Policy 10). While the protections of indigenous ecosystems and habitats is vital, so too is the maintaining and enhancing of the whole environment, including those containing valued introduced species. An unduly narrow indigenous - centric focus could lead to lessening or removal of protections for non-indigenous dominant systems, habitats, and species. The loss of protections, enhancements, and restorations risks adverse environmental effects and weakened climate change resilience for the region.	amend subclause: (d) supporting landowners to restore significant indigenous ecosystems <b>and habitats with significant biodiversity or other values</b> by fencing and planting.
<b>S166 Masterton</b>	S166.070	Method 54: Assist	Support in part	What type of assistance does this intend to provide (Financial? Education? Plants?) and who will be	Clarifications. More information is required about what this would look like in

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
District Council		landowners to maintain, enhance and restore indigenous ecosystems		responsible for it?	practice.
S115 Hutt City Council	S115.0114	Method 54: Assist landowners to maintain, enhance and restore indigenous ecosystems	Oppose in part	Oppose the inclusion of non-regulatory policies and methods that apply to territorial authorities.	Amend Method 54 so that it does not apply to city and district councils.
S131 Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust	S131.0149	Method 54: Assist landowners to maintain, enhance and restore indigenous ecosystems	Support		Ātiawa support Method 54.
S140 Wellington City Council (WCC)	S140.0116	Method 54: Assist landowners to maintain, enhance and restore indigenous ecosystems	Support in part	Requiring just the consideration of rates rebates is restrictive and may not necessarily be the best option to assist landowners to maintain, enhance and restore indigenous ecosystems.	Assist landowners to maintain, enhance and/or restore indigenous ecosystems identified by Methods IE.2 and CC.7, including by, but not limited to: (a) assisting with the costs of legally protecting indigenous ecosystems by way of open space covenants with Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust (QEII); <del>(b) considering opportunities for rates rebates;</del> <b>(b) considering opportunities for an incentive packages;</b> (c) assisting with the costs of controlling pest plants and animals; and (d) supporting landowners to restore significant



SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
					indigenous ecosystems by fencing and planting
<b>S163 Wairarapa Federated Farmers</b>	S163.0105	Method 54: Assist landowner s to maintain, enhance and restore indigenous ecosystem s	Oppose	Defer to the full review of the RPS in 2024.  General support for the intent - including clause b in respect of rates rebates (currently an anomaly in the Council rating system) - but proposing an RPS Change One method is not a pre-condition for getting the job done.	That Method 54 be deleted.  Delete the FW icon
<b>S165 Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest &amp; Bird)</b>	S165.0123	Method 54: Assist landowner s to maintain, enhance and restore indigenous ecosystem s	Support in part	Support this method, but caution that if the identification processes under Methods IE.2 and CC.6 are not broad enough, or are not carried out appropriately, they may not capture all areas that would benefit from restoration. The policy should therefore be broader than currently drafted. There also needs to be provision for restoration support in the period of time up until those identification processes are complete. The reference to Method CC.7 appears to be in error. We have also sought deletion of Method IE.2 (above), and seek deletion of the reference in this method. IE.2 is about an inventory of offsetting and compensation opportunities for consent applicants. Method 54 is aimed at assisting landowners with maintaining and restoring ecosystems - this is different to offsetting and compensation, and the two concepts should not be mixed. It would be more appropriate to link this to the restoration priorities covered in the regional biodiversity strategy.	Amend method to include the words "in particular those" before the words "identified by". Include provision in the method for restoration support prior to the identification processes having been completed. Correct the reference to Method CC.7 to CC.6. Delete reference to Method IE.2. Refer to the regional biodiversity strategy, which appears to be intended to identify restoration priorities.
<b>S167 Taranaki Whānui</b>	S167.0181	Method 54: Assist landowner s to maintain, enhance and restore indigenous	Support	Taranaki Whānui support the principle of this new method.	Retain as notified.

SUBMISSION POINTS BY PLAN CHAPTER – Chapter 4.5: Methods to implement policies (non-regulatory methods)

Submitter	Submission Point	Provision	Stance	Reasons	Decision Requested
		ecosystems			
<b>S78 Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited</b>	S78.037	Method 56: Assist the community to reduce waste and use water and energy efficiently	Not Stated / Neutral	Accepts that the deletion of operative Method 56 is required to give effect to the NPS-UD but neither supports nor opposes the deletion.	Delete as notified.
<b>S115 Hutt City Council</b>	S115.0115	Method 56: Assist the community to reduce waste and use water and energy efficiently	Support	Support the deletion of this method as proposed	Delete Method 56 as proposed.
<b>S140 Wellington City Council (WCC)</b>	S140.0117	Method 56: Assist the community to reduce waste and use water and energy efficiently	Support	Support as proposed.	Retain as notified.
<b>S167 Taranaki Whānui</b>	S167.0182	Method 56: Assist the community to reduce waste and use water and energy efficiently	Not Stated / Neutral		Deleted method